Cellular & Molecular Biology

Cell. Mol. Biol. 2015; 61 (3): 84-91 Published online July 31, 2015 (http://www.cellmolbiol.com) Received on June 8, 2015, Accepted on June 25, 2015. doi : 10.14715/cmb/2015.61.3.16



MicroRNA profiling during germline differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells

R. Ebrahimzadeh-Vesal¹, M. A. Shokrgozar², K. Nayernia³, L. Teimoori-Toolabi⁴, M. A. Estiar⁵, M. Miryounesi⁶, S. Nourashrafeddin⁷ and M. H. Modarressi⁵[∞]

¹ Department of Basic Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Neyshabur University of Medical Science, Neyshabur, Iran ² National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

³ Institute of Human Genetics, North East England, Stem Cell Institute, International Center for Life, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK

⁴ Molecular Medicine Department, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran

⁵ Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶Genomic Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁷ Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Corresponding author: Mohammad Hossein Modarressi, Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: modaresi@tums.ac.ir

Abstract

MicroRNAs are new classes of small non-coding regulatory RNAs which control degradation or suppress translation of its target mRNAs by sequence complementarity. Mature microRNAs are enriched in embryonic stem cells and play important roles in controlling stem cell self-renewal as well as control of differentiation. There is significant evidence that microRNAs are involved in the regulation of stem cell differentiation. The male mouse Embryonic Stem Cell line C57BL6/J with normal karyotype 46, XY was used for profiling microRNA expression in undifferentiated mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) and mESCs which were differentiated to germ line cells to determine and compare differences in microRNA expression before and after differentiation. Also, testis tissue samples of a 5-day-old mouse and a mature mouse was used as *in vivo* control. Profiling was performed by quantitative real-time PCR using locked nucleic acid microRNA-specific LNA^{IM}enhanced primers. After data analysis and comparison of results profiled microRNAs expression, three microRNAs, mmu-miR-21, mmu-miR-21* and mmu-miR-16 showed 50.31, 43.76 and 46.77-fold change increase of expression, respectively, in differentiated mESCs in comparison with undifferentiated state with significant p-value (Average p-value p<0.001 for each members of microRNAs). Expression of Let-7 microRNA family increased in differentiated state when compared with undifferentiated mESCs (Average p-value<0.0001 for each members of family). The levels of expression all other profiled microRNAs were significantly higher in undifferentiated in comparison with differentiated mESCs and their expression was down regulated after differentiation. (Average p-value <0.003 for each members of microRNAs).

Key words: MicroRNA, Embryonic Stem Cell, Self-renewal, Germ line Differentiation.

Introduction

MicroRNAs are a novel class of short endogenous (on average 22 nucleotides long) non-coding RNAs that are transcribed by RNA polymerase II and can play important regulatory and developmental roles in evolution and stability of many mRNAs in transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels of gene expression. The microRNA pathway has emerged as one of the most extensively investigated pathways of the past decade. They act by direct binding to their target sites on mRNAs (1-7). Mature microRNAs are processed products from larger microRNA precursor transcripts (8). Biogenesis and function of microRNAs are highly regulated and this regulation may be cell type specific. Expression of microRNAs has been identified in human cancers which suggested function of them as a tumor repressor gene, regulate response to chemotherapy and control of signalling pathways (9-10). Some microRNAs are tissue specific and preferentially expressed in embryonic stem cells and embryonic tissues (7, 11). Mature microRNAs which are abundant in stem cells can control stem cell self-renewal as well as their differentiation towards different lineages (12). Aside from transcription factors, signaling pathways and other different signaling and regulatory molecules, up- and down-regulation of microRNAs can be important in maintenance of pluripotency and differentiation of embryonic stem cells (13). Despite identification of huge numbers of microRNAs, the functions of most of these microRNAs still remain to be discovered. MicroRNAs are well conserved in eukaryotic organisms and they are considered as one of the major regulators of gene expression. As a novel group of endogenous small RNAs, the evolution of microRNAs in vertebrates and their role in developmental biology is being well documented. Expression and profiling of microRNAs can be assayed by quantitative real-time PCR, but their small size and wide range of melting temperatures pose a special challenge in designing experimental primers and probes for their detection and quantification with acceptable sensitivity and specificity. Detection of mature microRNAs with PCR has been technically challenging because of their small size and homology in sequence. Hybridization properties of LNA-modified oligonucleotide probes have proven to be highly useful for determining the expression of microRNAs in cells and tissues. By applying proprietary LNATM technology in PCR primers, profiling and expression analysis is performed with high sensitivity and specificity. LNAs, locked nucleic acids, are a class of nucleic acid analogs in which the furanose ring of the ribose is 'locked' with a methylene bridge

Table 1. Name, sequence and accession number profiled mature mouse microRNAs.

MicroRNA name	Sequence	Accession number mature sequence
mmu-let-7a	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUAUAGUU	MIMAT0000521
mmu-let-7b	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUGUGGUU	MIMAT0000522
mmu-let-7c	UGAGGUAGUAGGUUGUAUGGUU	MIMAT0000523
mmu-let-7d	AGAGGUAGUAGGUUGCAUAGUU	MIMAT0000383
mmu-let-7f	UGAGGUAGUAGAUUGUAUAGUU	MIMAT0000525
mmu-let-7e	UGAGGUAGGAGGUUGUAUAGUU	MIMAT0000524
mmu-let-7g	UGAGGUAGUAGUUUGUACAGUU	MIMAT0000121
mmu-let-7i	UGAGGUAGUAGUUUGUGCUGUU	MIMAT0000122
mmu-miR-17	CAAAGUGCUUACAGUGCAGGUAG	MIMAT0000649
mmu-miR-18a	UAAGGUGCAUCUAGUGCAGAUAG	MIMAT0000528
mmu-miR-18b	UAAGGUGCAUCUAGUGCUGUUAG	MIMAT0004858
mmu-miR-19a	UGUGCAAAUCUAUGCAAAACUGA	MIMAT0000651
mmu-miR-19b	UGUGCAAAUCCAUGCAAAACUGA	MIMAT0000513
mmu-miR-19b-1*	AGUUUUGCAGGUUUGCAUCCAGC	MIMAT0017065
mmu-miR-92a-2*	AGGUGGGGGAUUGGUGGCAUUAC	MIMAT0004635
mmu-miR-92h	UAUUGCACUCGUCCCGGCCUCC	MIMAT0004899
mmu-miR-302a	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUUGGUGA	MIMAT0000380
mmu-miR-302h	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUUAGUAG	MIMAT0003374
mmu-miR-302c	AAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUCAGUGG	MIMAT0003376
mmu-miR-302d		MIMAT0003377
mmu-miR-302a*		MIMAT0004579
mmu-miR-302h*		MIMAT0003373
$mmu_miR_290_3n$		MIMAT0004572
mmu-miR-290-5p		MIMAT0004372 MIMAT0000366
mmu_miR_201a_3n	AAGUGCUUCCACUUUGUGUGC	MIMAT0000368
mmu-miR-291a-5p	CAUCAAAGUGGAGGCCCUCUCU	MIMAT0000367
mmu-miR-291b-5p	GAUCAAAGUGGAGGCCCUCUCC	MIMAT0003189
mmu-miR-2970-3p		MIMAT000370
mmu_miR_292-5p	ACUCAAACUGGGGGCUCUUUUUG	MIMAT0000369
mmu-miR-292-3p	AAGUGCUUCCCUUUUGUGUGU	MIMAT0004574
mmu_miR_294	AGUGCCGCAGAGUUUGUAGUGU	MIMAT0000371
mmu_miR_201*		MIMAT0004574
mmu_miR_294		MIMAT0004374
mmu_miR_205*		MIMAT0004575
mmu miP 20a		MIMAT0004575
mmu miP 20a*		MIMAT0000527
mmu miP 20h	CAAAGUGCUCAUAGUGCAGGUAG	MIMAT0004027 MIMAT0003187
mmu miP 20b*		
mmu miD 21		MINAAT0000520
mmu miP 21*		MINAT0004629
$\frac{111110-1111N-21}{mmu} = \frac{124}{124}$		MINIAI 0004020
$\frac{1111114-1111K-124}{mmu} = \frac{16}{16}$		IVIIIVIAI 0000154 MIM ATOOO0527
$\begin{array}{c} \text{IIIIII} \text{IIII} \text{IIII} \text{K} = 10 \\ \text{mmu} \text{ miP} 192 \end{array}$		MINIAI 0000327 MINIAT0000211
$\frac{1111114-1111K-102}{mm1} = \frac{1202}{mm1}$		IVIIIVIAI 0000211 MIM ATOOO0141
mmu miR-150a		MIMAT0005202
mmu-mik-40/e	AUAAGUGUGAGUAUGUAUAUGU	IVIIIVIA10005295

connecting the 2'-O atom with the 4'-C atom. LNAs are constrained in the ideal conformation for Watson-Crick binding (14-16). This modification cause significant thermal stability and an increase in melting temperature with excellent properties in discrimination of mismatch sequences with an enhanced binding affinity to its targets. We propose that microRNAs are likely important regulators for stem cell self-renewal. The purpose of this study was differential analysis of microRNA expression in undifferentiated mESCs and comparison of results with differentiated state toward germ line cells in the presence of retinoic acid (RA) to elucidate differences microRNA gene expression and specify possible role of related microRNAs in control of self-renewal and differentiation.

Materials and methods

We have performed mouse microRNAs profiling using LNATM-enhanced specific real-time PCR primers to analyze expression mature microRNAs. Profiled microRNAs are listed in Table 1. Necessary microRNA information was obtained from the following websites; miRanda (http://www.microrna.org/), miRBase (http:// www.mirbase.org/), DIANA LAB (diana.cslab.ece. ntua.gr) and miRecords (mirecords.biolead.org).

We used the mouse embryonic stem cell line C57BL/6J with normal male (46, XY) karyotype (obtained from Invitrogen, USA), which was harboring a fusion construct (Stra8-EGFP), consisting of the coding region of the enhanced green fluorescent protein under the control of the 1.4 kb promoter region germ line specific gene Stra8 (a gift from Dr. Karim Nayernia), to sort differentiated embryonic stem cell populations which were successfully imported to meiosis by fluorescenceactivated cell sorter FACS Aria (BD Biosciences). Previous data reported that the 1.4 kb flanking promoter region of the Stra8 gene is able to direct reporter gene expression specifically in premeiotic stage of germ cells (17).

Embryonic stem cell culture

Mouse Embryonic Stem Cell C57BL6/J was cultured in an undifferentiated state on mitomycin C-inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) as feeder layer cells to expand and increase cell colony number with knock-out Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (KO-DMEM,GIBCO-BRL) supplemented with 15% ES-FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine (GIBCO-BRL), 100 u/ml penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO-BRL), 50 mM b-mercaptoethanol (Promega), 1% nonessential amino acid (NEAA; GIBCO-BRL), and 10³ U/ml leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (18).

Differentiation of mESC toward germ line lineage and cell sorting

To differentiate mES cells, cells were trypsinized and cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated culture dish (gelatin, Sigma) in the absence of LIF. Differentiation was induced by all-trans retinoic acid (RA, Sigma-Aldrich). R. Ebrahimzadeh-Vesal et al. / miRNA Profiling during Germline Differentiation.

Table 2. RT-PCR primer sequences used for each gene marker.

Stage	Target gene	Primer	Sequence	Product Size	
Pre-meiotic	Nanog	Forward	CTGTGGGAAGGCTGCGGCTCAC	220.1	
		Reverse	GAAGACGCAGCCTCTGTGCAGA	550 bp	
	oct-04	Forward	CTGAAGCAGAAGGAGGATCACC	190 hp	
		Reverse	TCGAACCACATCCTTCTCTAGCC	100 Up	
	Dazl	Forward	CAGGCATATCCTCCTTATCCAAG	262 hr	
		Reverse	TGTATGCTTCGGTCCACAGAC	203 op	
	Vasa	Forward	CGTTGAATACAGCGGGGGATTTC	257hn	
		Reverse	GCAGTGTTGTAACGTCAGCATT	2570p	
Meiotic	Sycp3	Reverse	CCGGAGCCGCTGAGCAAACA	436 bp	
		Reverse	CCAGTTCCCACTGCTGCAACAC		
Post-meiotic	Prm1	Forward	CTCACAGGTTGGCTGGCTCGAC	195 bp	
		Reverse	CGGCGACGGCAGCATCTTCG		
Housekeeping gene control	Pgm1	Forward	GCTTCGATGCGAGAGCTCAC	190 bp	
		Reverse	TGCGACACGGTGTACGGCAC		

Stock lyophilized retinoic acid was diluted in ES-DM-SO to give a stock solution of 10⁻¹ M. Retinoic acid was added to the medium at a final concentration of 10-5 M to induce differentiation. After 72 hours of RA induction. GFP-positive cells were selected using fluorescenceactivated cell sorter (FACS). Briefly, cells were trypsinized and after pipetting up and down several times they were filtered through a 40 µm falcon cell strainer to create single-cell suspension. Cell sorting was peformed with about 6×10^6 mouse embryonic stem cells using FACS Aria flow cytometric cell sorter (FACS Aria, BD Biosciences). Simultaneously, one culture dish with about 3×10⁶ mESC C57BL6/J cells harboring construct Stra8-EGFP were cultured on 0.1% gelatincoated culture dish in presence of 103 U/ml LIF and absence of RA and considered as the negative control cell population for cell sorting. Sorted pure GFP-positive cells were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated culture dish and designated as day-1 and were kept in presence of RA in final concentration of 0⁻⁵ M for 30-days to continue their differentiation.

Detection of gene marker specific transcripts

Total cellular RNA was extracted from cells and testicular tissue according to the manual (TriPure RNA isolation kit, Roche Applied Sciences, Germany). Total RNA extracted from samples of undifferentiated mESC, and mESC after 5-days, 12-days, 21-days and 30-days after RA induction to check expression of different gene markers. Total of 1 µg RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA by MMLV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) and random hexamers according to the manufacturer's instructions of the cDNA synthesis kit (RevertAid TM First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, Fermentas). Housekeeping gene, mouse Phosphoglucomutase-1 (pgm1), was used to check quality and the amplification reaction of cD-NAs. In order to check the different stages consisting of pre-meiotic, meiotic and post-meiotic of embryonic stem cells differentiation, we used specific forward and reverse primers to amplify the genes nanog, oct-4 (Octamer-binding transcription factor 4), Dazl (Deleted in azoospermia-like), Vasa, Sycp3 (Synaptonemal complex protein 3) and Prm1 (protamine 1). The PCR amplification condition for all mentioned genes was performed in 5 minutes at 95° C followed by 30 cycles of 95 ° C for 30 seconds, 60 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30 seconds, and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. Each PCR round was performed in a final reaction volume of 25 μ l. The sequences of primers are listed in Table 2. PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and then visualized under ultraviolet light. The results were considered as positive or negative.

MicroRNA extraction, cDNA Synthesis and Real-time PCR

Expression microRNA profiling was analysed in undifferentiated mouse Embryonic Stem Cells C57BL6/J and differentiated mESC toward germ line lineage. Also, to compare obtained results, two samples of 5-day-old mouse testis and mature mouse testis were profiled as in vivo control samples. Total RNA was extracted with miRCURY TM RNA isolation kit-Cell & Plant (version 2.0) for purification of total RNA that includes the microRNAs (Exigon, Vedbaek, Denmark) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Before cDNA synthesis, concentrated RNA samples were measured by Nano-Drop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington) and diluted to 5 ng/ul with nuclease free water as recommended in the protocol manual kit. For each sample, all microR-NAs are polyadenylated and reverse transcribed into cDNA in a single reaction step. Procedure was performed by miRCURY LNATM Universal first strand cDNA synthesis kit (version 4.1) in 60 minutes at 42°C followed for 5 minutes at 95°C (Exiqon, Vedbaek, Denmark). Then, Real-time PCR for mature microRNAs was performed with SYBR Green master mix (Exigon, Vedbaek, Denmark) by initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 seconds, 60°C for 60 seconds with final melting curve analysis starting from 60°C proceeding to 95°C to evaluate the specificity of the amplification products. The experiments were done in triplicate for each microRNA in designated panels. Also, three inter-plate calibrators (UniSp3-IPC) and one RNA Spike-in RNA (UniSp6) were considered to minimize the analytical variations and to quality control experiment. To normalize the real-time data, U6 snRNA housekeeping gene was selected as endogenous reference gene. Signals were detected with Real-Time PCR instrument ABI StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems).

Statistical real-Time PCR data analysis

Expression results were analysed with GenEX software version 2.5 (MultiD Analyses AB, Sweden). Data for each sample was normalized for U6snRNA

gene. Statistical Analysis of data was conducted by Ttest. The data of undifferentiated mESCs were compared with data of differentiated mESCs and also the results of 5-day-old mice were compared with mature mouse testis and for each cases standard deviation (STDEV), standard error of the mean (SEM), p-value and linear fold change in gene expression were calculated. The data was analyzed by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method. Fold change of each microRNA was calculated by the equation $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Data was considered significant when p-value less than 0.05.

Results

GFP-positive embryonic stem cells were checked under a fluorescent microscope (Olympus IX53 Inverted Motorized Microscope, USA) after 72h of RA induction (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Colonies of mESCs C57BL6/J which harboring fusion construct (Stra8-EGFP) were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated culture dish in absence of LIF and presence of retinoic acid to induce differentiation in final concentration of 10^{-5} M for 72 hours and GFP-Positive mESCs were observed under a fluorescent microscope. (A) bright field image, (B) fluorescence image. $400 \times$ Magnification.

Percentage of GFP expressing stem cells were analysed by Fluorescence activating cell sorting after 72h RA induction. From population of mESCs which were subjected to FACS, about 42.74% of cells were GFPpositive (B in fig 2). Fluorescence activated cell sorting was used to isolate GFP-positive from GFP-negative embryonic stem cells.



Figure 2. GFP-positive mESC57BL6/J cells were sorted by using Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) Aria II Flow Cytometer. (A) Negative control samples included the mESCs C57BL6/J population harboring construct Stra8-EGFP which were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated culture dish in presence of 10³ U/ml LIF and absence of RA, (B) Population mES C57BL6/J cells before cell sorting with 42.74% percentage GFP-positive mES cells after 72h RA induction (Gate p4).

Specific gene markers for different stages of premeiotic, meiotic and post-meiotic were checked by RT-PCR. Expression of Dazl, Oct-4 and Vasa as premeiotic gene markers were seen in samples of RNA extracted at days 5, 12, 21 and 30. Also, the expression of Oct-4, a pluripotency marker in mESCs, was positive in undifferentiated mESCs. Expression of meiotic marker Sycp3 was positive in samples of RNA extracted at days 12, 21 and 30. Post-meiotic marker protamine (Prm1) expressed in RNA samples extracted at days 21 and 30. Expression of nanog gene, a transcription factor involved in self-renewal of undifferentiated embryonic stem cells (19), was positive only in RNA extracted from undifferentiated mESCs and differentiated mESCs at day 5, but was negative during differentiation in RNA samples extracted on other days (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. Expression marker genes in different stages of differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cell C57BL6/J toward germ line lineage checked by RT-PCR. Mature mouse testis tissue was used as positive control sample (lane1), Undifferentiated mESC C57BL6/J in absence of retinoic acid induction (lane2), differentiated mESC after 5-days of retinoic acid induction (lane 3), differentiated mESC after 12-days retinoic acid induction (lane 4), differentiated ESC after 21- days retinoic acid induction (lane 5), differentiated ESC after 30-days retinoic acid induction (lane 6), and negative control sample without template (lane7). Retinoic acid used in final concentration 10⁻⁵ molar. Sorted GFP-Positive cells cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated culture dish and designated as day-1. Different times for RNA extraction and RT-PCR started from this time.

Dazl; deleted in azoospermia-like, Oct4; octamer-binding protein 4, Sycp3; synaptonemal complex protein 3, prm1; protamine 1.

In order to analyze our microRNAs results, we categorized our samples into 2 groups of in vivo and in vitro with each group consisting of 2 members. The in vitro group included samples of undifferentiated and differentiated and the in vivo group included samples of 5-dayold mouse testis and mature mouse testis. microRNA. Heat map of 4 samples for all profiled microRNAs is depicted (Fig. 4). Real-time data were analyzed with T-test calculation between members of the in vitro (undifferentiated vs differentiated) and in vivo (5-day-old mouse testis vs mature mouse testis) group. The microRNAs clusters miR-17-92 miR-290-295 miR-302 family and also mmu-miR-130a, mmu-miR-182, mmu-miR-124, mmu-miR-20b, mmu-miR-467e, mmu-miR-20a, mmumiR-20a*, mmu-miR-20b*, shown high level of expression in undifferentiated state and 5-day-old mouse testis, but down-regulated after differentiation(average p-



Figure 4. The heat map diagram which displays hierarchical clustering of microRNAs in 4 groups of samples undifferentiated mESC differentiated mESC after 30 days RA induction, 5-day-old mouse testis and mature mouse testis. Each row represents one microRNA gene and each column represents one sample. The microRNA clustering tree is shown on the left. Up-regulated microRNAs were shown as red and down-regulated microRNAs were shown as green.



Figure 5. Variation of gene expression for each microRNA in samples undifferentiated mESCs, differentiated mESCs, 5-days-old mouse testis and mature mouse testis. Numbers upon each bar graph show differences in fold change expression. Profiled microRNAs were shown in samples undifferentiated mESC vs differentiated (Blue bars) and 5-day-old mouse testis vs mature mouse testis (yellow bars). (A) miR-302family, (B) cluster 17-92, (C) cluster miR-290-295. (D) microRNAs mmu-miR-21, mmu-miR-130a, mmu-miR-182, mmu-miR-16, mmu-miR-124, mmu-miR-20b, mmu-miR-467e, mmu-miR-20a, mmu-miR-20b*, mmu-miR-21*. Expression mmu-miR-21, mmu-miR-16 and mmu-miR-21* is up-regulated after differentiation, (E) The comparison of profiled microRNAs Let-7 family in samples differentiated mESCs vs undifferentiated (Blue bars) and 5-day-old mouse testis (Yellow bars), expression this family is up-regulated after differentiation. Errors bars represent standard deviation (SD).

value p<0.003 for each members of cluster) and mature mouse testis (average p-value p<0.001 for each members of cluster). Our results demonstrate that expression level microRNAs mmu-miR-21, mmu-miR-21* and mmu-miR-16 were increased 50.31, 43.76 and 46.77 fold change, respectively, in differentiated mESCs with significant p-value in comparation to undifferentiated state (average p-value p<0.001). Also in our in vivo control samples, expression microRNAs mmu-miR-21, mmu-miR-21* and mmu-miR-16 in sample 5-day-old mouse testis increased 10.6, 7.22 and 20.43 fold change respectively in comparison to mature mouse testis (average p-value P<0.003 for each microRNA). Expression profiled microRNAs Let-7 family increased significantly after differentiation in comparison to undifferentiated mESC (Average p-value<0.0001 for each members of family) and sample 5-day-old mouse testis in comparison to mature mouse testis shown higher level of expression (average p-value<0.01 for each members of family) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

MicroRNA molecules are one of the important regulators of gene expression due to downregulation of gene expression by inhibiting translation (2, 20). MicroRNAs have been shown to play important roles in establishment and maintenance of pluripotency, proliferation and differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (21-22). Our results show differentiation level of microRNA expression in undifferentiated mESC and differentiated mESC. Identification of differentially expressed microRNAs can elucidate their function and importance in self-renewal and differentiation of ESCs. Overall roles and significances of microRNAs in embryonic stem cells were demonstrated by knock-out ESCs model of essential components of microRNA processing and maturation, like Dicer, Drosha or DGCR8 which result in longer cell cycle time and show delay in cell cycle progression with defects in G1 to S transition and defects in differentiation and self-renewal of mESCs (23-24).

Mouse microRNA cluster 290–295 is a 2.2-kb region on chromosome 7 of the mouse genome and mostly characterized by the hexamer seed sequence "AAGUGC" which suggests members of this cluster are redundantly directed against the same targets (7, 8, 25, 26). Expression of this cluster is regulated by c-Myc (25). They cause rapid proliferation of ES cells with acceleration from G1 to S transition by targeting cyclin kinase inhibitors (CKIs) (2). The cluster of miR-290-295 is the most abundant microRNA cluster in mESC which is responsible for about 60-70% of all microRNAs in mESC (13). This cluster has high level of expression in embryonic stem cells which can suggest specific expression and important role of cluster miR-290-295 in maintaining pluripotency and self-renewal of embryonic stem cells (11, 27, 28). In current study, cluster miR-290-295 members are expressed dominantly in undifferentiated embryonic stem cells which can be an emphasis on importance this cluster in maintaining pluripotency. The inhibitors of the cyclinE/cdk2 pathway which regulate cell cycle progression such as p21 (Waf1/Cip1), Lats2 and retinoblastomalike 2 protein (Rbl2) are validated targets of miR-290 cluster (29-31). Dkk-1 gene, one of Cluster miR-290-295 also has function in promoting DNA methylation and can prevent Rbl2 function as a repressor of de novo DNA methyltransferase by inhibition of its expression (34) which can suggest role of this cluster in epigenetic regulations in embryonic stem cells.

Cluster miR-17-92 and miR-302 family members are expressed at high levels in mouse embryonic stem cells (7, 8). Their over-expression can induced the pluripotent stem (iPS) cell state and accelerate mesenchymal-to-epithelial (MET) transition by directly targeting TGF-β receptor II and p21 (Waf1/Cip1) (35-36). Members of cluster miR-17-92 can control differentiation embryonic stem cells via targeting STAT3 mRNA, a known transcription factor in stem cells, (37). In this study, cluster miR-17-92 and miR-302 family shown high levels of expression in undifferentiated mESC and their expression is down-regulated in differentiated mESCs. This can emphasize function of cluster miR-17-92 and miR-302 family in keeping pluripotency and self-renewal capacity of stem cells rather than differentiation

In our experiment, microRNAs mmu-miR-21, mmumiR-21* and mmu-miR-16 showed increased expression in differentiated mESCs. Higher level of expression following induction of differentiation can indicate potential roles of these microRNAs in stem cell differentiation and somatic cells. In addition, for finding validates targets of these three microRNAs the website http://mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw/ was used. According to this web site, for miR-21 six validate target gene (Fasl, Peli, Pdcd4, Spry2, Pten and Reck) and for miR-16 thirteen validate target gene (Wnt3a, Ccnd1, Bcl2, Ccnt2, Arl2, Cadm1, App, Mdm4, Vegfa, Jun, Jag1, Slc6a4, Ccne1) have been identified. These validate target genes can somehow elucidate importance miR-21 and miR-16 in regulation differentiation ESCs and somatic cell maturation.

The slightly higher level of expression in other profiled microRNAs, including mmu-miR-130a, mmumiR-182, mmu-miR-124, mmu-miR-20b, mmu-miR-467e, mmu-miR-20a, mmu-miR-20a*, mmu-miR-20b*, were determined in undifferentiated mESCs vs differentiated. The expression analysis of these miRNAmicroR-NAs in differentiated mESCs toward germ line lineage have been done only in this experiment and even though these microRNAs are not ESCs specific, their function in ESCs remain to be understood and needs further functional studies.

Let-7 family consists of twelve members which are expressed from eight distinct genomic loci (38). Let-7 can regulate the expression of RAS and high mobility group A2 (HMGA2) oncogenes as the major oncogenic targets of Let-7 and also suppress expression of cell cycle regulators such as CDC25A, CDK6 and cyclin D1 (39-40). Previous microRNA profiling data shown microRNAs of the Let-7 family have target genes such as N-myc, C-myc, Sal4 in embryonic stem cells (41). In our findings, members of microRNAs let-7 family were almost absent in undifferentiated mouse embryonic stem cells and there is increased expression upon differentiation which can suggest their importance in controlling self-renewal and promoting differentiation. Expressed members of the Let-7 family can induce differentiation and it is worth testing that inhibition of let-7 family by an antisense inhibitor may be able to promote pluripotency in embryonic stem cells. Lin28 is an RNA binding protein that has been well characterized for regulation of let-7 processing in undifferentiated embryonic stem cells with inhibitory effect on let-7 processing. The expression of Lin28 is regulated with miR-290 cluster (21, 42). Decreased expression of miR-290 during differentiation led to decreased levels of Lin-28 and increased expression and maturation of the Let-7 microRNA family (21). This can suggest that functions cluster miR-290 and Let-7 family in control self-renewal and differentiation Es cells is in contrast to each other. Finally, we conclude microRNAs are crucial for self-renewal and behavior of embryonic stem cells. Our hypothesis is based on the observation that distinct sets of microRNAs are specifically expressed in pluripotent ES cells but not in differentiated. Identification of differentially expressed microRNAs and their relation to mRNA targets in embryonic stem cells before and during differentiation can lead to better understand of molecular mechanisms involved in controlling stem cell fate, development, proliferation and differentiation.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Pasteur Institute of Iran, especially the National Cell Bank of Iran. We thank the Royan Institute of Iran's flow cytometry facilities. We appreciate advice received from Najmeh Ranji, Rohollah Nakhaee Sistani, Arash Zaminy and Nafiseh sedaghat. This research was financially supported by Tehran University of Medical Science (TUMS), grant no. 20517.

We also would like to thank Dr. Mina Hajifaraj Tabrizi (Dept. of Medical Genetics, TUMS) for his careful proofreading of the manuscript and helpful comments and suggestions.

References

 Ambros, V., microRNAs: Tiny regulators with great potential. *Cell*, 2001. **107**(7): 823-826. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00616-X
Bartel, D.P., MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. *Cell*, 2009, **136**(2): 215-233. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2009.01.002

3. Kren, B.T., Wong, P.Y., Sarver, A., Zhang, X., Zeng, Y., Steer, C.J., MicroRNAs identified in highly purified liver-derived mitochondria may play a role in apoptosis. *RNA Biol*, 2009, **6**(1): p. 65-72. doi: 10.4161/rna.6.1.7534

4. Tanzer, A. and P.F. Stadler, Molecular Evolution of a MicroR-NA Cluster. *J Mol Biol*, 2004, **339**(2): 327-335. doi: 10.1016/j. jmb.2004.03.065

5. Baek, D., Villén, J., Shin, C., Camargo, FD., Gygi, S.P., Bartel, D.P., The impact of microRNAs on protein output. *Nature*, 2008, **455**(7209): 64-71. doi: 10.1038/nature07242

6. Lee, Y., Kim, M., Han, J., Yeom, K.H., Lee, S., Baek, S.H., Kim,

V.N.,, MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. *EMBO J*, 2004, **23**(20): 4051-60. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600385 7. Gangaraju, V.K. and H. Lin, MicroRNAs: Key regulators of stem cells. *Nature. Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 2009, **10**(2): 116-125. doi: 10.1038/nrm2621

8. Bartel, D.P., MicroRNAs: Genomics, Biogenesis, Mechanism, and Function. *Cell*, 2004, **116**(2): 281-297. doi: 1016/S0092-8674(04)00045-5

9. Ju, B.L., Chen, Y.B., Zhang, W.Y., Yu, C.H., Zhu, D.Q., Jin, J., miR-145 regulates chemoresistance in hepatocellular carcinoma via epithelial mesenchymal transition. *Cell. Mol. Biol. (Noisy-le-grand)*. 2015, **8**;61(3): 12-6.

10. Wen, X.P., Ma, H.L., Zhao, L.Y., Zhang, W., Dang, C.X., MiR-30a suppresses non-small cell lung cancer progression through AKT signaling pathway by targeting IGF1R. *Cell. Mol. Biol. (Noisy-legrand).* 2015, **61**(2):78-85.

11. Houbaviy, H.B., M.F. Murray, and P.A. Sharp, Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs. *Developmental Cell*, 2003, **5**(2): 351-358. doi: 10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00227-2

12. Kuppusamy, K.T., H. Sperber, and H. Ruohola-Baker, MicroR-NA Regulation and Role in Stem Cell Maintenance, Cardiac Differentiation and Hypertrophy. *Curr. Mol. Med.*, 2013, **13**(5): 757-64. doi: 10.2174/1566524011313050007

13. Marson, A., Levine, S.S., Cole, M.F., Frampton, G.M., Brambrink, T., Johnstone, S., Guenther, M.G., Johnston, W.K., Wernig, M., Newman, J., Calabrese, J.M., Dennis, L.M., Volkert, T.L., Gupta, S., Love, J., Hannett, N., Sharp, P.A., Bartel, D.P., Jaenisch, R., Young, R.A.Connecting microRNA Genes to the Core Transcriptional Regulatory Circuitry of Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell*, 2008, **134**(3): 521-533. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.07.020

14. Piao, X., Yan, Y., Yan, J., Guan, Y., Enhanced recognition of noncomplementary hybridization by single-LNA-modified oligonucleotide probes. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 2009, **394**(6): 1637-1643. doi: 10.1007/s00216-009-2821-x

15. Kauppinen, S., B. Vester, and J. Wengel, Locked Nucleic Acid: High-Affinity Targeting of Complementary RNA for RNomics. *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*, 2006, **173**, 405-422 doi: 10.1007/3-540-27262-3_21

16. Pande, V. and L. Nilsson, Insights into structure, dynamics and hydration of locked nucleic acid (LNA) strand-based duplexes from molecular dynamics simulations. *Nucleic. Acids. Research.*, 2008, **36**(5): 1508-1516. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm1182

17. Nayernia, K., Li, M., Jaroszynski, L., Khusainov, R., Wulf, G., Schwandt, I., Korabiowska, M., Michelmann, H.W., Meinhardt, A., Engel, W., Stem cell based therapeutical approach of male infertility by teratocarcinoma derived germ cells. *Human. Molecular. Genetics.*, 2004, **13**(14): 1451-1460. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddh166

18. Joyner, A.L., Gene Targeting: A Practical Approach, *Second* Edition. Newyork: Oxford University Press. 2000.

19. Mitsui, K., Tokuzawa, Y., Itoh, H., Segawa, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, K., Maruyama, M., Maeda, M., Yamanaka, S., The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. *Cell*, 2003, **113**(5): 631-42. doi: 1016/S0092-8674(03)00393-3

20. Massirer, K.B. and A.E. Pasquinelli, The evolving role of microRNAs in animal gene expression. *BioEssays*, 2006, **28**(5): 449-452. doi: 10.1002/bies.20406

21. Mallanna, S.K. and A. Rizzino, Emerging roles of microRNAs in the control of embryonic stem cells and the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells. Dev. Biol., 2010, **344**(1): 16-25. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio/2010.05.014

22. Laurent, L.C., MicroRNAs in embryonic stem cells and early

embryonic development. J. Cell. Mol. Med., 2008, **12**(6a): 2181-2188. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00513.x

23. Kanellopoulou, C., Muljo, S.A., Kung, A.L., Ganesan, S., Drapkin, R., Jenuwein, T., Livingston, D.M., Rajewsky, K., Dicer-deficient mouse embryonic stem cells are defective in differentiation and centromeric silencing. *Genes and Development*, 2005, **19**(4): 489-501. doi: 10.1101/gad.1248505

24. Wang, Y., Medvid, R., Melton, C., Jaenisch, R., Blelloch, R., DGCR8 is essential for microRNA biogenesis and silencing of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Nature Genetics*, 2007, **39**(3): 380-385. doi: 10.1038/ng1969

25. Chen, X., Xu, H., Yuan, P., Fang, F., Huss, M., Vega, V.B., Wong, E., Orlov, Y.L., Zhang, W., Jiang, J., Loh, Y.H., Yeo, H.C., Yeo, Z.X., Narang, V., Govindarajan, K.R., Leong, B., Shahab, A., Ruan, Y., Bourque, G., Sung, W.K., Clarke, N.D., Wei, C.L., Ng, H.H., Integration of External Signaling Pathways with the Core Transcriptional Network in Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell*, 2008, **133**(6): 1106-1117. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.04.043

26. Houbaviy, H.B., Dennis, L., Jaenisch, R., Sharp, P.A., Characterization of a highly variable eutherian microRNA gene. *RNA*, 2005, **11**(8): 1245-1257. doi: 10.1261/rna.2890305

27. Landgraf, P., Rusu, M., Sheridan, R., Sewer, A., Iovino, N., Aravin, A., Pfeffer, S., Rice, A., Kamphorst, A.O., Landthaler, M., Lin, C., Socci, N.D., Hermida, L., Fulci, V., Chiaretti, S., Foà, R., Schliwka, J., Fuchs, U., Novosel, A., Müller, R.U., Schermer, B., Bissels, U., Inman, J., Phan, Q., Chien, M., Weir, D.B., Choksi, R., De Vita, G., Frezzetti, D., Trompeter, H.I., Hornung, V., Teng, G., Hartmann, G., Palkovits, M., Di Lauro, R., Wernet, P., Macino, G., Rogler, C.E., Nagle, J.W., Ju, J., Papavasiliou, F.N., Benzing, T., Lichter, P., Tam, W., Brownstein, M.J., Bosio, A., Borkhardt, A., Russo, J.J., Sander, C., Zavolan, M., Tuschl, T. A Mammalian microRNA Expression Atlas Based on Small RNA Library Sequencing. Cell, 2007. 129(7): 1401-1414. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.040 28. Lichner, Z., Páll, E., Kerekes, A., Pállinger, E., Maraghechi, P., Bosze, Z., Gócza, E., The miR-290-295 cluster promotes pluripotency maintenance by regulating cell cycle phase distribution in mouse embryonic stem cells. Differentiation, 2011, 81(1): 11-24. doi: 10.1016/j.diff.2010.08.002

29. Wang, Y., Baskerville. S., Shenoy, A., Babiarz, J.E., Baehner, L., Blelloch, R., Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs regulate the G1-S transition and promote rapid proliferation. *Nat. Genet.*, 2008, **40**(12): 1478-83. doi: 10.1038/ng.250.

30. Zheng, G.X., Ravi, A., Gould, G.M., Burge, C.B and Sharp, P.A., Genome-wide impact of a recently expanded microRNA cluster in mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2011, **108**(38): 15804-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1112772108

31. Benetti, R., Gonzalo, S., Jaco, I., Muñoz, P., Gonzalez, S., Schoeftner, S., Murchison, E., Andl, T., Chen, T., Klatt, P., Li, E., Serrano, M., Millar, S., Hannon, G., Blasco, M.A., A mammalian microRNA cluster controls DNA methylation and telomere recombination via Rbl2-dependent regulation of DNA methyltransferases.

Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 2008, **15**(9): 998. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1339 32. Zovoilis, A., Smorag, L., Pantazi, A., Engel, W., Members of the miR-290 cluster modulate in vitro differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. *Differentiation*, 2009, **78**(2-3): 69-78. doi: 10.1016/j. diff.2009.06.003

33. Nusse, R., Wnt signaling and stem cell control. *Cold. Spring. Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.*, 2008. **73**: p. 59-66. doi: 10.1101/ sqb.2008.73.035

34. Sinkkonen, L., Hugenschmidt, T., Berninger, P., Gaidatzis, D., Mohn, F., Artus-Revel, C.G., Zavolan, M., Svoboda, P., Filipowicz, W., MicroRNAs control de novo DNA methylation through regulation of transcriptional repressors in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2008. **15**(3): 259-67. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1391

35. Li, Z., Yang, C.S., Nakashima, K., Rana, T.M., Small RNAmediated regulation of iPS cell generation. *EMBO Journal*, 2011, **30**(5): 823-834. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.2

36. Liao, B., Bao, X., Liu, L., Feng, S., Zovoilis, A., Liu, W., Xue, Y., Cai, J., Guo, X., Qin, B., Zhang, R., Wu, J., Lai, L., Teng, M., Niu, L., Zhang, B., Esteban, M.A., Pei, D., MicroRNA cluster 302-367 enhances somatic cell reprogramming by accelerating a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition. J. Biol. Chem., 2011, **286**(19): 17359-17364. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C111.235960.

37. Foshay, K.M. and G.I. Gallicano, miR-17 family miRNAs are expressed during early mammalian development and regulate stem cell differentiation. *Dev. Biol.*, 2009, **326**(2): 431-443. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.11.016

38. Pasquinelli, A.E., Reinhart, B.J., Slack, F., Martindale, M.Q., Kuroda, M.I., Maller, B., Hayward, D.C., Ball, E.E., Degnan, B., Müller, P., Spring, J., Srinivasan, A., Fishman, M., Finnerty, J., Corbo, J., Levine, M., Leahy, P., Davidson, E., Ruvkun, G., Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7 heterochronic regulatory RNA. *Nature*, 2000, **408**(6808): 86-89. doi: 10.1038/3504055639.

Huang, J.C., Babak, T., Corson, T.W., Chua, G., Khan, S., Gallie, B.L., Hughes, T.R., Blencowe, B.J., Frey, B.J., Morris, Q.D., Using expression profiling data to identify human microRNA targets. *Nat. Methods.*, 2007. **4**(12): 1045-9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth1130

40. Johnson, C.D., Esquela-Kerscher, A., Stefani, G.,, Byrom, M., Kelnar, K., Ovcharenko, D., Wilson, M., Wang, X., Shelton, J., Shingara, J., Chin, L., Brown, D., Slack, F.J., The let-7 microRNA represses cell proliferation pathways in human cells. *Cancer. Res.*, 2007, **67**(16): 7713-22. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1083

41. Zhong, X., Li, N., Liang, S., Huang, Q., Coukos, G., Zhang, L., Identification of MicroRNAs Regulating Reprogramming Factor LIN28 in Embryonic Stem Cells and Cancer Cells. J. Biol. Chem., 2010, **285**(53): 41961-41971. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.169607

42. Newman, M.A., J.M. Thomson, and S.M. Hammond, Lin-28 interaction with the Let-7 precursor loop mediates regulated microRNA processing. *RNA*, 2008, **14**(8): 1539-49. doi: 10.1261/ rna.1155108