

Cellular and Molecular Biology

Original Research

ERBB4 gene polymorphisms and the risk of prostate cancer in a sample of Iranian Population

M. Hashemi^{1,2*#}, N. Moradi², M. Rezaei², S. Sanaei², S. A. M. Ziaee³, B. Narouie³, M. Sotoudeh³, G. Bahari², S. Ghavami^{4#}

¹Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

² Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

³Urology and Nephrology Research Center, Department of Urology, Shahid Labbafinejad Medical Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Department of Human Anatomy& Cell Science, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

winnipeg, Canada

Abstract: Genetic polymorphisms in *ERBB4* are thought to be associated with cancer susceptibility. In the present study, we aimed to assess the impact of *ERBB4* rs12052398 T>C, rs13393577 A>G, rs13424871 A>T, rs16847082 A>G and rs6147150 (12-bp I/D) polymorphisms on risk of prostate cancer (PCa) in a sample of Iranian population. In a case-control study, we enrolled 169 patients with pathologically confirmed PCa and 182 subjects with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). No significant association was found among *ERBB4* polymorphisms and risk of PCa. Subjects carrying TT/AA/AA/AG/ID, TC/AA/AA/AA/II, TT/AA/AT/AA/II and TT/AA/AT/AG/ID genotypes are associated with a decreased risk of PCa. Our findings suggest that haplotypes CAAAI and TAAAD (rs12052398, rs13393577, rs13424871, rs16847082 and rs6147150I) of the *ERBB4* polymorphisms are associated with a significantly lower risk of PCa. Further studies with a larger sample sizes and diverse ethnicities are necessary to verify our findings.

Key words: Prostate cancer, ERBB4, polymorphism.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is a common cancer that occurs in the prostate epithelial cells (1). In 2016, a total of 180,890 new cases of Pca and 26,120 deaths from the disease are expected to occur in the United States (2). In Iran, the incidence rate of PCa is approximately 9.6 per 100,000 (3, 4), which is similar to the Asia-Pacific region, but it is considerably lower than the rest of the world (32.8 per 100,000) (5). Although there are several unanswered questions regarding PCa etiology, it has been proposed that both genetic and environmental factors have played an important role in pathogenesis of the disease for many years (6-9).

The EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) family, which is implicated in the development and normal growth of several organs, consists of four receptor tyrosine kinases: EGFR (HER1/ ErbB1), ErbB2 (HER2/ neu), ErbB-3 (HER3) and ErbB4 (HER4) (10, 11). They are widely expressed in epithelial, mesenchymal and neuronal tissue and activate a series of complex cellular signal transduction pathways that mediate diverse cellular functions including cell proliferation, differentiation, motility and survival (12-16).

ERBB4, a member of the EGFR subfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases, is mapped to chromosome 2q33.3-q34. It contains 28 exons that code for a 1308 amino acid protein. Accumulating evidence indicates that ErbB4 plays critical roles in the development and prognosis of different tumors (13, 15) and genetic variants of *ERBB4* are involved in the risk of developing many cancers including breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and colorectal cancer (CRC) (17-20). In the present study, we aimed to examine the impact of *ERBB4*

rs12052398 T>C, rs13393577 A>G, rs13424871 A>T, rs16847082 A>G and rs6147150 (12-bp I/D) polymorphisms on the risk of developing PCa in a sample of Iranian population.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The current case-control study included 169 unrelated men with histopathologically confirmed prostate adenocarcinoma and 182 age-matched unrelated men with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with no history of any type of cancer. The study design and enrolment procedure have been previously described (21, 22). All the subjects were enrolled from the Shahid Labbafinejad Medical Center at the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Ethics approval for recruitment was obtained from the local Ethics Committee of the Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects (patients and controls). Blood samples were collected in EDTA-containing tubes and genomic DNA where was extracted using the salting-out method, as

Received April 6, 2016; Accepted August 17, 2016; Published August 31, 2016

* **Corresponding author:** Mohammad Hashemi, PhD, Professor of Clinical Biochemistry, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran. Email: mhd. hashemi@gmail.com

[#]These authors have co-senior authorship.

Copyright: © 2016 by the C.M.B. Association. All rights reserved.

described previously (23).

Genotyping

Genotyping of *ERBB4* rs12052398 T>C, rs13393577 A>G, rs13424871 A>T and rs16847082 A>G gene polymorphisms was determined using the PCR-RFLP method. Genotyping of the 12-bp insertion/deletion (I/D) in the *ERBB4* gene was performed using PCR. The primers are listed in Table 1. PCR was performed using a commercially available Prime Taq premix (Genetbio, South Korea), according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. In each 0.20-ml reaction, 1 µl of genomic DNA (approximately 100 ng/ml), 1 µl of each primer, 10 µl of 2X Prime Taq Premix and 7 µl ddH₂O were added. The PCR conditions were set as follows: 95°C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 95°C for 30s, annealing at the appropriate temperature (Table 1) for 30s, and 72°C for 30 s and a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. PCR product (10 µl) was then digested using the appropriate restriction enzyme (Table 1), electrophoresed on 2.5% agarose gels containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide and observed under UV light.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 18 statistical software. Data were analyzed using an independent sample *t*-test and the χ^2 test. Association between *ERBB4* polymorphisms and PCa were calculated by computing the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) from logistic regression analyses. Haplotype analysis was performed using SNPS-tats software (24). A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The study group consists of 169 PCa patients with an average age of 61.36 ± 6.60 years and 182 BPH with a mean age of 62.51 ± 7.67 years. There was no significant difference in age between the groups (p=0.135).

The genotypes and allele frequencies of *ERBB4* rs12052398 T>C, rs13393577 A>G, rs13424871 A>T, rs16847082 A>G and rs6147150 (12-bp I/D) polymorphisms in PCa and control subjects are shown in Table 2. Our findings indicate that *ERBB4* variants were not

associated with PCa in any inheritance models tested (co-dominant, dominant and recessive).

We found that subjects carrying the TT/AA/AA/AG/ ID, TC/AA/AA/AA/II, TT/AA/AT/AA/II and TT/AA/ AT/AG/ID genotypes had a decreased the risk of developing PCa compared to those with the rs12052398TT/ rs13393577AA/rs13424871AA/rs16847082AA/ rs6147150II genotypes (Table 3). Haplotype analysis is shown in Table 4. The haplotypes C/A/A/A/I and T/A/ A/A/D were associated with a decreased risk of developing PCa (OR=0.39, 95%CI=0.20-0.74, p=0.007, and OR=0.37, 95%CI=0.15-0.91, p=0.031, respectively) compared to rs12052398T/rs13393577A/rs13424871A/ rs16847082A/rs6147150I genotypes. There was no significant association between ERBB4 variants and clinicopathological characteristics (Table 5).

Discussion

The ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases (ErbB1, ErbB2, ErbB-3 and ErbB4) mediates cellular responses to growth factors through their intracellular domain and interacts with downstream signaling pathways, which are important for development, differentiation and proliferation (12-16). ERBB4 is a single transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase (25).

Several reports show that the importance of ErbB4 dysregulation, which is possibly involved in tumorigenesis (15, 26, 27). ErbB4 expression in ependymoma is high and it is associated with a low patient survival rate (27). Overexpression of ErbB4 in a non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell line resulted in increased cell proliferation (26). In addition, genetic components in ErbB4 also play a vital role in the pathogenesis of cancer (17, 18).

In the current study, we investigated the impact of *ERBB4* rs12052398 C>T, rs13393577 G>A, rs13424871 A>T, rs16847082 A>G, and the 12-bp insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphisms on the risk of developing PCa in a sample of Iranian population.

Because all of the ERBB members are important in tumor cell survival and proliferation, genetic polymorphisms of these proteins might contribute to the cancer risk. However, we found no significant association between *ERBB4* variants and the risk of develo-

Table 1. The primers used for detection of *ERBB4* polymorphisms using PCR-RFLP methods.

ERBB4 polymorphism	Primer sequence (5'->3')	Restriction Enzyme	Annealing Temperature (°C)	Fragment (bp)
rs12052398 T>C				
Forward	ATGCCCTTTGAGACTTCGTACA	T-LA	(0	T allele, 460;
Reverse	AGAGTGGGGAAGAAAGAGACATC	AdeI	68	C allele, 309+152
rs13393577 A>G				
Forward	AAAGGCCATCCCTCAAGGTGATAGCACC	MSPI	65	A allele, 172;
Reverse	ACCAAATCAAGGATTTTTCACTACTTTG	WISF1	03	G allele, 144+ 28
rs13424871 A>T				
Forward	TGATTGTTGAACCCTATGGACA	BseGI	59	A allele, 267;
Reverse	GAAAGCATACTAGAAATGG	DSCOI	39	T allele, 188+ 79
rs16847082 A>G				
Forward	CCGTAACATTGTTCCTTTGGGTG	MboII	65	A allele, 379;
Reverse	ATACACAACAAAAACTCCCCTGC	IVIUUII	05	G allele, 243+136
rs6147150 (12bp I/D)				
Forward	TCACCCAACTTTGTAGATTATACCT		62	I allele, 116; D
Reverse	AGGCCATCTTTCCTCACCTG	-	02	allele, 104

Table 2. Genotype and allele frequer	cies of ERBB4 polymorphisms	in prostate cancer (PCa) and	d control patients.
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------

ERBB4 polymorphism	Prostate Cancer Patients n (%)	Control Patients n (%)	OR (95%CI)	P-value	
rs12052398 T>C					
Codominant	00 (52 7)	07 (17.0)	1.00		
TT	89 (52.7)	87 (47.8)	1.00	0 27(
TC	72 (42.6)	91 (50.0)	0.77 (0.50-1.18)	0.276	
CC Dominant	8 (4.7)	4 (2.2)	1.96 (0.57-6.73)	0.375	
TT	89 (52.7)	87 (47.8)	1.00		
TC+CC	89 (32.7) 80 (47.3)	93 (52.2)	0.84 (0.55-1.28)	0.454	
Recessive	80 (47.5)	<i>))()2.2)</i>	0.84 (0.55-1.28)	0.404	
TT+TC	161 (95.3)	178 (97.8)	1.00	-	
CC	8 (4.7)	4 (2.2)	2.21 (0.65-7.49)	0.245	
Allele	0()	. (=.=)	2.21 (0.00 7.13)	0.2.10	
Т	250 (74.0)	265 (72.8)	1.00	-	
С	88 (26.0)	99 (27.2)	0.94 (0.67-1.32)	0.733	
rs13393577 A>G					
AA	153 (90.5)	169 (92.9)	1.00	-	
AG	16 (9.5)	13 (7.1)	1.38 (0.63-3.04)	0.431	
GG	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	-	
Allele			1.00		
A	322 (95.3)	351 (96.4)	1.00	-	
G	16 (4.7)	13 (3.6)	1.32 (0.64-2.73)	0.467	
rs13424871 A>T					
Codominant	120(7(0))	122 (7(0)	1.00		
AA AT	130(76.9)	133 (76.0) 37 (21.1)	1.00 1.02 (0.61-1.71)	0.918	
TT	37 (21.9) 2 (1.2)	57 (21.1) 5 (2.9)	0.41 (0.08-2.15)	0.449	
Dominant	2(1.2)	5 (2.9)	0.41 (0.08-2.13)	0.449	
AA	130 (76.9)	133 (76.0)	1.00	_	
AT+TT	39 (23.1)	42 (24.0)	0.95 (0.58-1.56)	0.899	
Recessive	59 (25.1)	12 (21:0)	0.55 (0.56 1.50)	0.077	
AA+AT	167 (98.8)	170 (97.1)	1.00	-	
TT	2 (1.2)	5 (2.9)	0.41 (0.78-2.13)	0.407	
Allele			(
А	297 (88.8)	303 (86.6)	1.00	-	
Т	41 (11.2)	47 (13.4)	0.89 (0.57-1.39)	0.648	
rs16847082 A>G					
Codominant					
AA	112 (66.3)	111 (61.0)	1.00		
AG	51 (30.2)	62 (34.1)	0.82 (0.52-1.28)	0.419	
GG	6 (3.5)	9 (4.9)	0.66 (0.23-1.92)	0.594	
Dominant	110 (((2)	111 ((1.0)	1.00		
AA	112 (66.3)	111 (61.0)	1.00		
AG+GG	57 (33.7)	71 (39.0)	0.79 (0.51-1.23)	0.319	
Recessive AA+AG	163 (96.5)	168 (95.1)	1.00		
GG	6 (3.5)	9 (4.9)	0.69 (0.24-1.97)	0.600	
Allele	0 (5.5)	9 (4.9)	0.09 (0.24-1.97)	0.000	
A	275 (81.4)	284 (78.0)	1.00	_	
G	63 (18.6)	80 (22.0)	0.81 (0.56-1.18)	0.303	
rs6147150 (12bp I/D)	00 (10.0)	00 (==:0)	0.01 (0.00 1.10)	0.000	
Codominant					
II	103 (60.9)	100 (54.9)	1.00	-	
ID	61 (36.1)	79 (43.4)	0.75 (0.49-1.16)	0.226	
DD	5 (3.0)	3 (1.7)	1.62 (0.38-6.95)	0.722	
Dominant					
II	103 (60.9)	100 (54.9)	1.00	-	
ID+DD	66 (39.1)	82 (45.1)	0.78 (0.52-1.20)	0.280	
Recessive					
II+ID	164 (97)	179 (98.3)	1.00	-	
DD	5 (3.0)	3 (1.7)	1.82 (0.43-7.73)	0.489	
Allele		070 (71 1)	1.00		
I	267 (79.0)	279 (76.4)	1.00	-	
D	71 (21.0)	85 (23.3)	0.87 (0.61-1.25)	0.469	

ping PCa in our population. Recently, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified the ERBB4 gene as a PCa susceptibility gene (28). GWAS in Korean women showed that the rs13393577 variant of the ERBB4 gene is breast cancer (BC) susceptibility variant (17). Rokavec et al. (18) found that the -782G>T (rs62626348) variant of the ERBB4 gene is associated with BC and CRC risk. They found that other variants of ERBB4 including (-718 C>T, -815 A>T, -609 G>A, -267 C>G) were not associated with cancer risk. The 12-bp I/D polymorphism (rs6147150) in the 3'UTR of ERBB4 increased the risk of developing CRC and HCC in a Chinese population (19, 20). The D/D variant may interrupt the binding site in 3'UTR for some microR-NAs and lead to their up-regulation in tumor tissues (20). Qu et al. (29) reported that the ERBB4 rs1595066

variant is significantly associated with reduced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) risk (29). They analyzed haplotypes of rs1595066 and rs16845990, and found that rs1595066A/rs16845990C and rs1595066A/ rs16845990T haplotypes reduce the risk of ESCC, while rs1595066G/rs16845990C and rs1595066G/ rs16845990T haplotypes increase ESCC risk.

Rokavec et al. (18) found that the -782G>T (rs62626348) variant of the ERBB4 gene is associated with BC and CRC risk. They found that other variants of *ERBB4* (-718 C>T, -815 A>T, -609 G>A, -267 C>G) were not associated with cancer risk. Kurppa et al. (30) investigated the frequency and prognostic significance of two *ERBB4* promoter region polymorphisms, -782G>T (rs62626348) and -815A>T (rs62626347). They found that the rs62626347 variant was signifi-

 Table 3. Effect of ERBB4 gene polymorphisms interactions on prostate cancer (PCa) risk.

rs12052398	rs13393577	rs13424871	rs16847082	rs6147150	PCa Patients	Control	OR (95%CI)	P-value
					n (%)	Patients n (%)	· · · ·	I -value
TT	AA	AA	AA	II	27 (16.0)	14 (7.7)	1.00	-
TT	AA	AA	AA	ID	14 (8.3)	19 (10.4)	0.38 (0.15 - 0.98)	0.060
TT	AA	AA	AG	II	12(7.1)	4 (2.2)	1.56 (0.42 - 5.73)	0.752
TT	AA	AA	AG	ID	5 (3.0)	12 (6.6)	0.22 (0.06 - 0.74)	0.019
TC	AA	AA	AA	II	22(13.0)	31 (17.0)	0.37 (0.16 - 0.87)	0.023
TC	AA	AA	AA	ID	14(8.3)	15 (8.2)	0.48 (0.18 - 1.28)	0.218
TC	AA	AA	AG	II	7 (4.1)	10(5.5)	0.36 (0.11 - 1.16)	0.142
TC	AA	AA	AG	ID	5(3.0)	9 (4.9)	0.29 (0.08-1.03)	0.064
TC	AA	AT	AG	II	3(1.8)	6 (3.3)	0.26 (0.06-1.12)	0.130
TT	AA	AT	AA	ID	7 (4.1)	0(0.0)	7.91 (0.42-147.6)	0.089
TT	AA AA	AT AT	AA	II II	7 (4.1)	0(0.0)	7.91 (0.42-147.6)	0.089
TT TT		AT	AA	ID	0(0.0)		0.03 (0.002 - 5.77)	0.0007
TC	AA AA	AT	AG AG	ID ID	0(0.0)	4 (2.2)	0.06 (0.003-1.66)	0.021
TC	AA AA	AT		ID ID	1(0.6)	4 (2.2)	0.13 (0.01-1.27)	0.069
TT			AA	DD	1(0.6)	4 (2.2)	0.13 (0.01-1.27)	0.069
TT	AA AG	AA AA	AG AA	II	1 (0.6) 2 (1.2)	1(0.5) 3(1.6)	-	-
TT	AG	AA	AG	II	1(0.6)	0(0.0)	-	-
TT	AG	AA	AG	ID	2(1.2)	2(1.1)	-	-
TT	AA	AA	GG	ID II	2(1.2) 0(0.0)	2(1.1) 2(1.1)	-	-
TT	AA	AA	GG	ID	0 (0.0)	2(1.1) 2(1.1)	-	-
TC	AA	AA	AA	DD	3 (1.8)		-	-
TC	AA	AA	GG	II	1 (0.6)	1(0.5)	_	_
TC	AA	AA	GG	ID	1 (0.6)	1(0.5) 1(0.5)		_
TC	AG	AA	AA	ID II	4 (2.4)	3 (1.6)	-	-
TC	AG	AA	AG	ID	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	_	
TC	GG	AA	AG	ID	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	_
TC	AG	AA	AA	ID	0(0.0)	2(1.1)	-	-
TC	AG	AA	AG	II	0 (0.0)	1(0.5)	_	_
CC	AA	AA	AA	II	2(1.2)	3 (1.6)	-	-
CC	AA	AA	AA	ID	2(1.2)	0(0.0)	-	-
CC	AA	AA	AG	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
CC	AA	AA	GG	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
CC	AG	AA	AG	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
CC	AA	AA	AG	ID	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AA	DD	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AG	II	3 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AG	ID	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	GG	ID	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	GG	ID	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AG	AT	AA	ID	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AG	AT	AG	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AG	ID	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AA	ID	0 (0.0)	3 (1.6)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AA	DD	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	AA	II	0 (0.0)	3 (1.6)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	GG	II	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TT	AA	AT	GG	ID	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TT	AG	AT	AG	II	0 (0.0)	2 (1.1)	-	-
TC	AG	AT	AG	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TC	AA	AT	AA	II	3 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TC	AA	AT	AA	ID	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TC	AA	AT	GG	ID	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TC	AA	AT	GG	II	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	-	-
CC	AA	AT	AA	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TT	AA	TT	AA	II	1 (0.6)	2 (1.1)	-	-
TT	AA	TT	AG	II	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TT	AA	TT	AG	ID	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TC	AG	TT	AA	II	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	-	-
TC	AA	TT	AA	II	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-
TC	AA	TT	AA	ID	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	-	-

cantly associated with poor survival (HR=2.86 [95% CI 1.15–6.67], P=0.017), and that variant rs62626348 was associated with well-differentiated cancer (P=0.019). Ma et al. (31) evaluated the *ERBB4* variants in cervical cancer and found that the 11892696 and 16847082 variants of ERBB4 increased susceptibility, while 12052398, 13424871, 1978873 and 16847416 polymorphisms were not associated with the disease.

isms were not associated with the disease. with The discrepancy in the results may be caused by I

differences in the populations studied, study design, genetic background of the participants and the environmental background. There are also some limitations in our study that might affect the results including: i) the sample size of our study is relatively small; ii) we did not determine gene-environment interactions; and iii) the effect of *ERBB4* variants on the survival of patients with PCa has not been determined.

In conclusion, this study is the first report that has

Table 4. Haplotype association of ERBB4 variants with prostate cancer (PCa) risk.

rs12052398	rs13393577	rs13424871	rs16847082	rs6147150	PCa Patients	Control Patients	OR (95%CI)	P-value
Т	А	А	А	Ι	0.4382	0.3049	1.00	-
С	А	А	А	Ι	0.1308	0.2230	0.39 (0.20 - 0.74)	0.005
Т	А	А	А	D	0.0804	0.1362	0.37 (0.15 - 0.91)	0.031
Т	А	А	G	Ι	0.0614	0.0765	0.60 (0.25 - 1.49)	0.280
Т	А	Т	А	Ι	0.0545	0.0674	0.54 (0.22 - 1.30)	0.170
Т	А	А	G	D	0.0327	0.0551	0.26 (0.06 - 1.11)	0.069
С	А	А	G	Ι	0.0409	0.0207	1.29 (0.29 - 5.67)	0.740
Т	А	Т	G	Ι	0.0142	0.0313	0.20 (0.02 - 2.63)	0.220
Т	А	Т	А	D	0.0025	0.0075	1.68 (0.21 - 13.14)	0.620
Т	G	А	А	Ι	0.0101	0.0194	0.39 (0.06 - 2.41)	0.310
С	G	А	А	Ι	0.0177	0.0041	1.17 (0.18 - 7.59)	0.870
С	А	А	G	D	0.0091	0.0076	0.59 (0.00 - 267.66)	0.870
С	А	А	А	D	0.0447	0.000	-	-

Table 5. Association of ERBB4 polymorphisms with clinicopathologic parameters in prostate cancer (PCa) patients.

	rs12	052398		rs133	393577	rs13424871			rs16847082		р	rs61	47150		
Factors TT	TT	TC+CC	- р	AA	AG+GG	p AA	AA	AT+TT	р	AA	AG+GG		II	ID+DD	р
Age at diagnosis Y, n			0.234			0.846			0.427			0.475			0.163
≤65	60	61		109	12		95	26		78	43		78	43	
>65	29	19		44	4		35	13		34	14		25	23	
Stage			0.506			0.348			0.191			0.417			0.339
pT1	3	5		6	2		4	4		7	1		6	2	
pT2a	12	15		23	4		21	6		19	8		14	13	
pT2b	7	4		11	0		6	5		8	3		4	7	
pT2c	45	31		71	5		61	15		48	28		51	25	
pT3a	7	6		11	2		10	3		6	7		8	5	
pT3b	15	19		31	3		28	6		24	10		20	14	
PSA at diagnosis (ng/ml), n			0.607			0.826			0.378			0.687			0.408
≤4	1	0		1	0		1	0		1	0		0	1	
4-10	43	41		75	9		61	23		54	30		50	34	
>10	45	39		77	7		68	16		57	27		53	31	
Gleason score, n			0.286			0.566			0.421			0.674			0.349
≤ 6	29	28		50	7		43	14		36	21		39	18	
7	43	30		68	5		54	19		48	25		41	32	
>7	17	22		35	4		33	6		28	11		23	16	
Perineural invasion, n			0.874			0.595			0.257			0.503			0.872
Positive	55	51		99	9		85	21		68	38		64	42	
Negative	34	29		56	7		45	18		44	19		42	24	
Surgical margin, n			0.875			0.426			0.456			0.506			0.147
Positive	36	31		59	8		54	13		42	25		36	31	
Negative	53	49		94	8		76	26		70	32		67	35	

evaluated the impact of *ERBB4* variants on susceptibility to PCa in a sample of Iranian population. Our findings did not support an association between *ERBB4* polymorphism and PCa risk. Larger sample sizes with diverse ethnicities are required to confirm our findings.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by a dissertation grant (MSc thesis, NM) from Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran. The authors thank all individuals who willingly participated in the study. SG acknowledges University of Manitoba start up fund. All authors acknowledge Dr. Jodi Smith for English language editing of the manuscript.

References

1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2014;64:9-29.

2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2016;66:7-30.

3. Farahmand M, Khademolhosseini F, Mehrabani D. Trend of prostate cancer in Fars Province, Southern Iran, 2001-2007. Journal of research in medical sciences : the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. 2010;15:295-7.

4. Talaiezadeh A, Tabesh H, Sattari A, Ebrahimi S. Cancer incidence in southwest of iran: first report from khuzestan populationbased cancer registry, 2002-2009. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP. 2013;14:7517-22. 5. Baade PD, Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Dunn J, Gardiner RA. Epidemiology of prostate cancer in the Asia-Pacific region. Prostate international. 2013;1:47-58.

6. Gallagher RP, Fleshner N. Prostate cancer: 3. Individual risk factors. CMAJ. 1998;159:807-13.

7. Oakley-Girvan I, Feldman D, Eccleshall TR, Gallagher RP, Wu AH, Kolonel LN, et al. Risk of early-onset prostate cancer in relation to germ line polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13:1325-30.

8. Rohan TE, Hislop TG, Howe GR, Gallagher RP, Teh CZ, Ghadirian P. Cigarette smoking and risk of prostate cancer: a populationbased case-control study in Ontario and British Columbia, Canada. Eur J Cancer Prev. 1997;6:382-8.

9. Buxton JA, Gallagher RP, Le ND, Band PR, Bert JL. Occupational risk factors for prostate cancer mortality in British Columbia, Canada. Am J Ind Med. 1999;35:82-6.

10. Linggi B, Carpenter G. ErbB receptors: new insights on mechanisms and biology. Trends Cell Biol. 2006;16:649-56.

11. Hynes NE, MacDonald G. ErbB receptors and signaling pathways in cancer. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2009;21:177-84.

12. Prigent SA, Lemoine NR. The type 1 (EGFR-related) family of growth factor receptors and their ligands. Prog Growth Factor Res. 1992;4:1-24.

13. Zandi R, Larsen AB, Andersen P, Stockhausen MT, Poulsen HS. Mechanisms for oncogenic activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. Cell Signal. 2007;19:2013-23.

14. Srinivasan R, Poulsom R, Hurst HC, Gullick WJ. Expression of the c-erbB-4/HER4 protein and mRNA in normal human fetal and adult tissues and in a survey of nine solid tumour types. J Pathol. 1998;185:236-45.

15. Fujiwara S, Ibusuki M, Yamamoto S, Yamamoto Y, Iwase H. Association of ErbB1-4 expression in invasive breast cancer with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis. Breast Cancer. 2014;21:472-81.

16. Moasser MM. The oncogene HER2: its signaling and transforming functions and its role in human cancer pathogenesis. Oncogene. 2007;26:6469-87.

17. Kim HC, Lee JY, Sung H, Choi JY, Park SK, Lee KM, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies a breast cancer risk variant in ERBB4 at 2q34: results from the Seoul Breast Cancer Study. Breast Cancer Res. 2012;14:R56.

18. Rokavec M, Justenhoven C, Schroth W, Istrate MA, Haas S, Fischer HP, et al. A novel polymorphism in the promoter region of ERBB4 is associated with breast and colorectal cancer risk. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:7506-14.

19. Yu Q, Zhou CX, Chen NS, Zheng SD, Shen LM, Zhang JK. A polymorphism within ErbB4 is associated with risk for hepato-

cellular carcinoma in Chinese population. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18:383-7.

20. Gao X, Zhang S, Zhu Z. Genetic variation of ErbB4 confers risk of colorectal cancer in a Chinese Han population. Cancer Biomark. 2014;14:435-9.

21. Hashemi M, Shahkar G, Simforoosh N, Basiri A, Ziaee SA, Narouie B, et al. Association of polymorphisms in PRKCI gene and risk of prostate cancer in a sample of Iranian Population. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). 2015;61:16-21.

Hashemi M, Moradi N, Ziaee SA, Narouie B, Soltani MH, Rezaei M, et al. Association between single nucleotide polymorphism in miR-499, miR-196a2, miR-146a and miR-149 and prostate cancer risk in a sample of Iranian population. J Adv Res. 2016;7:491-8.
 Hashemi M, Hanafi Bojd H, Eskandari Nasab E, Bahari A, Hashemzehi NA, Shafieipour S, et al. Association of Adiponectin rs1501299 and rs266729 Gene Polymorphisms With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Hepatitis monthly. 2013;13:e9527.

24. Sole X, Guino E, Valls J, Iniesta R, Moreno V. SNPStats: a web tool for the analysis of association studies. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1928-9.

25. Fuller SJ, Sivarajah K, Sugden PH. ErbB receptors, their ligands, and the consequences of their activation and inhibition in the myocardium. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2008;44:831-54.

26. Starr A, Greif J, Vexler A, Ashkenazy-Voghera M, Gladesh V, Rubin C, et al. ErbB4 increases the proliferation potential of human lung cancer cells and its blockage can be used as a target for anticancer therapy. Int J Cancer. 2006;119:269-74.

27. Gilbertson RJ, Bentley L, Hernan R, Junttila TT, Frank AJ, Haapasalo H, et al. ERBB receptor signaling promotes ependymoma cell proliferation and represents a potential novel therapeutic target for this disease. Clin Cancer Res. 2002;8:3054-64.

28. Wang Q, Lv H, Lv W, Shi M, Zhang M, Luan M, et al. Genomewide haplotype association study identifies BLM as a risk gene for prostate cancer in Chinese population. Tumour Biol. 2015;36:2703-7.

29. Qu Y, Qu H, Luo M, Wang P, Song C, Wang K, et al. MicroR-NAs related polymorphisms and genetic susceptibility to esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Genet Genomics. 2014;289:1123-30. 30. Kurppa KJ, Rokavec M, Sundvall M, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL, Joensuu H, Brauch H, et al. ERBB4 promoter polymorphism is associated with poor distant disease-free survival in high-risk early breast cancer. PLoS One. 2014;9:e102388.

31. Stefanis NC, Hatzimanolis A, Smyrnis N, Avramopoulos D, Evdokimidis I, van Os J, et al. Schizophrenia candidate gene ERBB4: covert routes of vulnerability to psychosis detected at the population level. Schizophr Bull. 2013;39:349-57.