

# **Cellular and Molecular Biology**

E-ISSN: 1165-158X / P-ISSN: 0145-5680



www.cellmolbiol.org

#### Original Research

# Anti-diarrheal activities of phytol along with its possible mechanism of action through *in-vivo* and *in-silico* models

Muhammad Torequl Islam<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohammad Asikur Rahman<sup>3</sup>, Maria Saeed<sup>4</sup>, Zaheer Ul-Haq<sup>4</sup>, Md. Jahir Alam<sup>3</sup>, Milon Mondal<sup>5</sup>, Rajib Hossain<sup>5</sup>, Mohammad S. Mubarak<sup>6</sup>, Bahare Salehi<sup>7\*</sup>, William N. Setzer<sup>8,9</sup>, Ahmad Faizal Abdull Razis<sup>10</sup>, Javad Sharifi-Rad<sup>11\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Biophysics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City-700000, Vietnam <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City-700000, Vietnam

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Jahangirnagar University, Savar (Dhaka)-1342, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup> Dr. Panjwani Center for Molecular Medicine and Drug Research, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of

Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Life Science Faculty, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, Gopalganj-8100 (Dhaka), Bangladesh

<sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

<sup>7</sup> Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Bam University of Medical Sciences, Bam, Iran

<sup>8</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL, 35899, USA

<sup>9</sup>Aromatic Plant Research Center, 230 N 1200 E, Suite 100, Lehi, UT, 84043, USA

<sup>10</sup>Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>11</sup> Phytochemistry Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

\*Correspondence to: bahar.salehi007@gmail.com; javad.sharifirad@gmail.com

Received April 30, 2020; Accepted May 17, 2020; Published June 25, 2020

Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.14715/cmb/2020.66.4.29

Copyright: © 2020 by the C.M.B. Association. All rights reserved.

Abstract: Phytol (PHY), a chlorophyll-derived diterpenoid, exhibits numerous pharmacological properties, including antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities. This study evaluates the anti-diarrheal effect of phytol (PHY) along with its possible mechanism of action through *in-vivo* and *in-silico* models. The effect of PHY was investigated on castor oil-induced diarrhea in *Swiss* mice by using prazosin, propranolol, loperamide, and nifedipine as standards with or without PHY. PHY at 50 mg/kg (p.o.) and all other standards exhibit significant (p < 0.05) anti-diarrheal effect in mice. The effect was prominent in the loperamide and propranolol groups. PHY co-treated with prazosin and propranolol was found to increase in latent periods along with a significant reduction in diarrheal section during the observation period than other individual or combined groups. Furthermore, molecular docking studies also suggested that PHY showed better interactions with the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors, especially with  $\alpha$ -ADR1a and  $\beta$ -ADR1. In the former case, PHY showed interaction with hydroxyl group of Ser192 at a distance of 2.91Å, while in the latter it showed hydrogen bond interactions with Thr170 and Lys297 with a distance of 2.65 and 2.72Å, respectively. PHY exerted significant anti-diarrheal effect in Swiss mice, possibly through blocking  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors.

Key words: Phytol; Diterpenoid; Diarrhea; Mus musculus; Molecular docking.

#### Introduction

Diarrhea is generally defined as the passage of three or more unformed stools per day (often along with other enteric symptoms) or the passage of more than 250 g of unformed stool per day. On the basis of its duration, diarrhea can be classified as acute (<14 days), persistent (14–29 days), or chronic ( $\geq$ 30 days) (1). It often lasts for a few days and can result in dehydration due to fluid loss. Additionally, it can range from a mild, temporary condition, to a potentially life-threatening. Approximately, 2 billion cases of diarrheal disease occur each year, and 1.9 million children under the age of 5 years, mostly in developing countries, die from diarrhea. There are many overlapping features in diarrheal diseases that can vary in severity, duration, clinical manifestations, and sequelae according to the causal facts, which in turn can impact the economic burden on patients and their families (2). Diarrhea may also cause nausea, vomiting, and abdominal cramps, which may force affected individuals into bed rest until the hydro electrolytic balance is restored (3). The most common cause of diarrhea is an infection of the intestines due to a virus, bacterium, or parasite, or to gastroenteritis. These infections are often acquired from food or water that has been contaminated by feces, or directly from another infected person. Diarrhea produces changes in the transport of water and electrolytes that result in a hyper-secretory response and generation of giant contraction of the intestine. Thus, a potential antidiarrheal agent may exhibit its effect by inhibiting gut motility and/or electrolyte outflux (4).

Despite the significant effect of synthetic antidiarrheal drugs, side effects remain that necessitate the search for other treatments; medicinal plants may provide such valuable therapeutic alternatives(5-7). Along this line, use of traditional medicinal plants and their derived compounds to treat gastrointestinal disorders such as constipation and diarrhea has gained popularity worldwide because of their natural origin, availability, and fewer side effects (8). Accordingly, there has been an increased global interest in traditional medicines as alternative therapeutic tools (9). Furthermore, commonly used anti-diarrheal agents can cause a number of mild to severe side effects, such as dizziness, drowsiness, tiredness, constipation, nausea, vomiting, stomach/abdominal pain, uncomfortable fullness of the stomach/ abdomen, fast/irregular heartbeat, and fainting. Diterpenes, a family of essential oils, are generally known for their antioxidant activity, and can exert protective effect in biological systems (10-12). Scientific reports suggest that diterpenes and their modified derivatives have potential anti-diarrheal effects due to their anti-microbial and anti-protozoal effects, and can act as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agents (13, 14). Phytol (PHY), a chlorophyll-derived diterpenoid, exerts several important biological activities (15, 16). However, its antidiarrheal effect has not been fully investigated. In this study, we have evaluated PHY's anti-diarrheal effect in castor oil-induced diarrheal mice. Additionally, we have also investigated the possible mechanism of action by using a number of standard anti-diarrheal agents in addition to an *in-silico* study.

# **Materials and Methods**

# **Reagents and chemicals**

Castor oil was purchased from a local market of Bangladesh. LOP and PRA were kindly supplied by the Square Pharmaceuticals Ltd., while PRO and NIF were provided by the ACI Ltd. and Drug International Ltd. Bangladesh, respectively. Phytol and tween 80 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA.

#### Animals

Adult male albino mice (22-30 g), purchased from the animal resource branch of Jahangir Nagar University (JU), Dhaka, were used throughout this investigation. These animals were housed under standard environmental conditions (temperature:  $25 \pm 2$  °C, humidity:  $50 \pm$ 5%, and 12-hour light/dark cycles) in sanitized polypro-

pylene cages containing sterile paddy husk as bedding. They were kept under standard conditions mentioned in the Animals By-Laws 2008 of the University of Malakand (Scientific Procedures Issue-1). They were given free access to standard pellets as basal diet and water ad libitum. All animals were acclimatized for seven days before the study. Animals were randomized into experimental and control groups and were starved 12 hours before the experiment, test compounds were orally administered by gavage. Test protocol (#PHR07/2019) was approved by the Committee on Animal Research at the Department of Pharmacy, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University, Gopalganj, Bangladesh. After the study, all animals were euthanized with sodium pentobarbital (135 mg/kg, i.p.).

# Grouping and Treatment (Castor oil-induced diarrhea in mice)

The procedure we followed in this investigation is the one outlined by Awouters et al. (29) with slight modifications. Animals were treated with 0.5 mL castor oil 30 minutes after the sample (Gr-II) and controls (Gr-I & Gr-VI) treatment. Similarly, PHY (Gr-II) was given 15 minutes before Gr-III to Gr-VI (Gr-VII to Gr-X) (Table 1). Animals were then observed for latency and total defecation up to 4 h in each group.

# In-silico studies

# Docking setup

In this study, 10 docked poses were generated for each ligand using default MOE-Dock parameters, and the top-ranked docked pose of each ligand was analyzed via MOE-Dock program.

# α-ADR1a homology model

Homology modeling of Human  $\alpha$ -1a Adrenergic Receptor ( $\alpha$ -ADR1a) was performed by Swiss-model (30). Prior to modeling, sequence was retrieved from UniProt (31) followed by BLAST Analysis using NCBI BLAST (32) program to choose the template. Top-ranked template with optimized E-value was subjected to multiple sequence alignment with the aid of Clustal Omega (33). PROCHECK (26) was employed for the validation of the Homology Model. Binding Site exploration of

Table 1. Animals fasting overnight were treated with the following substances at 10 mL/kg.

8 8	8	6	
Treatment group	Description	Activity pathway	
Gr-I: VEH (i.p.)	0.05% Tween 80 dissolved in 0.9% NaCl solution	-	
Gr-II: PHY (i.p.)	50 mg/kg (emulsified in VEH)	Under investigation	
Gr-III: LOP (p.o.)	3 mg/kg (dissolved in VEH)	µ-opoid receptor agonist	
Gr-IV: PRA (i.p.)	1 mg/kg (dissolved in VEH)	α-adrenergic receptor blocker	
Gr-V: PRO (i.p.)	10 mg/kg (dissolved in VEH)	β-adrenergic receptor blocker	
Gr-VI: NIF (i.p.)	2.5 mg/kg (dissolved in VEH)	Ca <sup>+2</sup> channel blocker	
Gr-VII: (Gr-II + Gr-III)		Under investigation	
Gr-VIII: (Gr-II + Gr-IV)	15 minutes after the PHV 50 mg/kg	Under investigation	
Gr-IX: (Gr-II + Gr-V)	administration.	Under investigation	
Gr-X: (Gr-II + Gr-VI)		Under investigation	
Values are mean $\pm$ SD (n = 5)			

 $\alpha$ -ADR1a was performed by MOE Site finder module implemented in the MOE Suite (27). Molecular docking of PRA and PHY compounds were performed to shed light on the binding mode of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a.

#### **Receptors and ligand preparation**

Receptors such as  $\alpha$ -ADR1a,  $\beta$ -ADR1,  $\mu$ -OR, and VGIC were obtained *via* structure-preparation module implemented in MOE (27), followed by protonation, minimization, and partial charge application. Default parameters were set to optimize the docking experiment. All the compounds were sketched by MOE-Builder module followed by protonation, minimization, and MMFF94 partial charge application. Finally, MOE was utilized to explore the possible interactions.

#### **Docking protocol**

Molecular Docking studies were performed by using MOE-DOCK (27) to study the binding mechanism of the anti-diarrheal compounds (PRA, PRO, LOP, and NIF while PHY used as a standard control among all targets) against four well-known therapeutic target receptors  $\alpha$ -ADR1a,  $\beta$ -ADR1,  $\mu$ -OR, and VGIC, respectively. The MOE-Dock was utilized for docking experiments with all of the above-mentioned receptors. Homology model of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a was constructed and previously reported homology model of  $\beta$ -ADR1 [25] while PDB ID's: 4DKL (34) and 6BYO (35) of  $\mu$ -OR and VGIC were retrieved from the Brookhaven Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein (RCSB) Data Bank (36) to investigate the binding mechanism of anti-diarrheal compounds, respectively.

# Statistical analysis

Data obtained from this study were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and results are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed with the aid of Newman-Keuls*post hoc* test using the software GraphPadPrism® - GraphPad Software, Inc. (version: 6.0); differences were considered significant at  $p \le 0.05$  with 95% confidence intervals.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Castor oil-induced diarrhea (In vivo)

Castor oil test has been used for years as to screen and evaluate anti-diarrheal drugs. It has high reproducibility in the number of liquid and formed feces evaluation, since it reduces the absorption and increases secretion of water and electrolytes, besides stimulating peristalsis and accelerating intestinal transit (17)(14). After ingestion, castor oil is hydrolyzed to glycerol and ricinoleic acid by pancreatic lipases. Ricinoleic acid, a major component of the seed oil obtained from mature castor plant seeds or in sclerotium of ergot, is responsible for the diarrheal effect in animals (18). The presence of ricinoleic acid in the small intestine results in the release of prostaglandins and platelet-activating factor (17)(14), thus promoting vasodilation, smooth muscle contraction, and mucus secretion in the small intestine, and resulting in diarrhea (19). In addition, ricinoleic acid promotes the release of nitric oxide (NO) and activation of adenylylcyclase which causes an increase in cyclic

adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) concentration. This increase in cAMP concentration (a) stimulates peristaltic activity in the intestine, (b) alters the membrane permeability, (c) reduces the activity of the Na<sup>+</sup>K<sup>+</sup>ATPase pump, and (d) decreases the absorption of  $Na^+$  and  $K^+$ ; these factors can cause an accumulation of these electrolytes and water in the intestinal lumen (20, 21). Our findings from this study, suggest that PHY and the standards (LOP, PRA, PRO, NIF) significantly (p < 0.05) increase latent periods in diarrheal mice when compared to the VEH group. On the other hand, LOP (22.6  $\pm$ 3.6 min) and PRO ( $22.2 \pm 2.8$  min) treatment increased the latency period more than that of PHY, PRA, and NIF groups. However, PHY when co-treated with the standards resulted in the highest increased latency as in the case of PHY + PRO group (26.1  $\pm$  2.6 min), followed by PHY + PRA, PHY + LOP, and PHY + NIF groups, respectively as shown in Table 2.

Similarly, data in Table 3 indicate that PHY at 50 mg/kg significantly (p < 0.05) reduces the number of diarrheal secretions in comparison to the VEH group. The highest reduction of diarrheal secretions by PHY was observed at the 4<sup>th</sup> hour ( $1.6 \pm 0.8$ ). More reduction of diarrheal secretions was observed in LOP and PRO groups. Moreover, PHY's activity was more relevant to the PRA group. Results also revealed that PHY cotreated with the standards effectively reduced diarrheal secretions in all groups. Interestingly, PHY co-treated with PRA and PRO were more effective in reducing diarrheal secretions than the LOP and NIF groups.

Antioxidants are protective in nature; they are generally used to protect body organs from the damaging effects of free radicals. Among the other reactive species such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), nitric oxide (NO), at moderate concentrations, has important signaling roles under physiological conditions, however, excessive or sustained NO production may lead to oxidative stress in our body. Additionally, high levels of NO in vascular systems can generate cardiovascular risk factors such as hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (22). Two excellent reviews which were recently published by Islam and his co-workers suggest that PHY exhibits anti-inflammatory, lipid-lowering, and anti-diabetic ef-

**Table 2**. Latent periods observed in the treated groups.

Treated groups	Latency (min)
VEH	$8.4 \pm 2.4$
PHY	$12.2 \pm 1.8^{*}$
LOP	$22.6 \pm 3.6^{*\#}$
PRA	$17.1 \pm 1.9^{*\#}$
PRO	$22.2 \pm 2.8^{*\#b}$
NIF	$15.4 \pm 3.9^{*\#}$
PHY + LOP	$24.2 \pm 2.1^{*\#a}$
PHY + PRA	$25.8\pm3.2^{*\text{\#b}}$
PHY + PRO	$26.1 \pm 2.6^{*\#}$
PHY + NIF	$22.9 + 2.6^{*\#d}$

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5); ANOVA oneway followed by Newman-Keuls*post hoc*test; p <0.05 when compared to the \*Gr-I, #Gr-II, aGr-III, bGr-IV, cGr-V, dGr-V in respective hour. LOP: Loperamide; PRA: Prazosin; PRO: Propranolol; NIF: Nifedipine. Table 3. Diarrheal secretions of mice in different treatment groups at 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th hours.

Treatment groups	1 <sup>st</sup> hr	2 <sup>nd</sup> hr	3 <sup>rd</sup> hr	4 <sup>th</sup> hr		
VEH	$14.4 \pm 4.3$	$12.2 \pm 3.5$	$9.1\pm0.9$	8.8 ± 1.5		
РНҮ	$9.0\pm4.9^{\ast}$	$3.8\pm1.3^{\ast}$	$2.8\pm1.3^{\ast}$	$1.6\pm0.8^{\ast}$		
LOP	$5.2\pm2.6^{*\!\#}$	$3.8\pm2.5^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$3.4\pm1.3^{\ast \#}$	$3.2\pm1.8^{*\#}$		
PRA	$7.8\pm2.4^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$4.6\pm1.2^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$4.2\pm2.2^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$1.6\pm0.8^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#a}$		
PRO	$5.4\pm3.1^{*\text{\#b}}$	$4.4\pm2.2^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$2.0\pm1.0^{*\text{\#ab}}$	$1.2\pm0.8^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#a}$		
NIF	$8.2\pm3.7^{\ast}$	$4.4\pm1.7^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#}$	$3.2\pm0.8^{*\text{\#b}}$	$2.0\pm1.0^{\ast_a}$		
PHY + LOP	$5.0\pm1.3^{*\text{\#a}}$	$2.0\pm0.0^{*\!\#\!a}$	$1.0\pm0.2^{*\text{\#a}}$	$0.6\pm0.4^{*\scriptscriptstyle\#a}$		
PHY + PRA	$3.6\pm1.1^{*\#b}$	$3.4\pm1.4^{*\text{b}}$	$1.2\pm0.8^{*\text{b}}$	$0.4\pm0.3^{*b}$		
PHY + PRO	$4.4\pm2.7^{*\text{\#c}}$	$3.4\pm1.8^{\ast}$	$2.4\pm1.7^{\ast}$	$1.4\pm0.9^{\ast}$		
PHY + NIF	$7.2\pm1.6^{*\#}$	$6.4\pm2.9^{\ast}$	$2.6\pm1.9^{\ast}$	$1.2\pm0.8^{\ast}$		

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5); ANOVA oneway followed by Newman-Keuls*post hoc* test; p <0.05 when compared to the \*Gr-I, #Gr-II, aGr-III, bGr-IV, cGr-V, dGr-V in respective hour; PHY: Phytol; LOP: Loperamide; PRA: Prazosin; PRO: Propranolol; NIF: Nifedipine.

fects in experimental animals (15). In another study, Islam and colleagues reported that PHY cansignificantly scavenge reactive and harmful species such as ROS and RNS, and NO (23). Thus, results from this investigation are in line with the previously accomplished studies.

#### α-ADR1a homology model

The amino acid Sequence of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a, retrieved from Uniprot (Accession ID:P35348),was subjected to NCBI Blast Program for the selection of best homologous template. Human Serotonin 5-hydroxytryptamine 1B (5-HT1B) Receptor (PDB ID:6G79) (24) showed



**Figure 1.** Multiple sequence alignment of the  $\alpha$ -ADR1a sequence with Human Serotonin 5-HT1B Receptor sequence using Clustal Omega.

38% identity with the target sequence. On the other hand, multiple sequence alignment was performed to observe the conservation between the target and the template sequence illustrated in Figure 1. Homology model of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a was generated by Swiss model. The 3D model of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a revealed an excellent agreement with the experimentally determined 3D structure of human serotonin 5-HT1B Receptor. The Figure 2 showed superimposed view of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a model and serotonin 5-HT1B Receptor. The calculated polypeptide backbone (C $\alpha$ , C, and N atoms) root mean square deviation (RMSD) of α-ADR1a model against Serotonin 5-HT1B Receptor was observed to be 0.28Å. Optimization of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a model was achieved by using GROMACS 5.1. (25), whereas validation of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a homology model was acquired through the use of Ramachandaran plot performed by PROCHECK (26) and illustrated in Figure 3. Furthermore, binding site exploration of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a was performed by MOE-Site finder module



Figure 2. Superimposition view of the target and template structures. Purple color represents  $\alpha$ -ADR1a model while green color represents the template structure of Human Serotonin 5-HT1B Receptor



Figure 3. Optimized model of human  $\alpha$ -ADR1a using PRO-CHECK.



**Figure 4.** Molecular docking interaction of human  $\alpha$ -1a adrenergic receptor (a) Prazosin and (b) Phytol. Light purple color represents human  $\alpha$ -1a adrenergic receptor, cyan color represents the interacted residues while light magenta color represent ligand.

implemented in the MOE Suite (27).

# α-1a Adrenergic Receptor (α-ADR1a)

Molecular docking of PRA and PHY compounds wasaccomplished to investigate the binding mode of  $\alpha$ -ADR1a as shown in Figure 4. The amino pyrimidinemoiety of PRA mediates a hydrogen bond at a distance of 2.75Å with the hydroxyl group of Ser192, whereas-Phe187 exhibits  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction with the benzene ring and  $\pi$ -CH<sub>3</sub> interaction with PRA. On the other hand, PHY showed good interaction with the hydroxyl group of Ser192 at a distance of 2.91Å, while the methyl groups of Leu153 and Ile157 exhibiting hydrophobic interaction with the aliphatic chain of PHY. Docking score of PRA and PHY are -5.2932 and -5.3114, respectively.

# β-1 Adrenergic Receptor (β-ADR1)

 $\beta$ -ADR1 provides remedial solutions of cardiovascular diseases and effective treatment of asthma.



**Figure 5.** Molecular docking interaction of  $\beta$ -1 adrenergic receptor (a) Propanolol and (b) Phytol. Lime green color represents  $\beta$ -1 adrenergic receptor, dark cyan color represents the interacted residues while soft pink color represent ligand.



**Figure 6.** Molecular docking interaction of  $\mu$ -opiodreceptor (a) Loperamide and (b) Phytol White color represents  $\mu$ -opiod receptor, grey color represents the interacted residues while magenta color represent ligand.

Homology model of  $\beta$ -ADR1, previously reported by Ul-Haq et al. (28), was utilized in this study. PRO and PHY were docked into the  $\beta$ -ADR1. Results show that the hydroxyl moiety of PRO mediating two hydrogen bonds with Lys297 at a distance of 2.64 and 2.47Å. In addition, multiple hydrophobic interactions were observed with Val89, Val92, Phe168, Thr170, Phe309, and Val310. Similarly, the hydroxyl group of PHY also mediates two hydrogen bond interactions with Thr170 and Lys297 with the distance of 2.65 and 2.72Å, respectively. Additionally, multiple hydrophobic interactions were observed with Val61, Trp84, Val89, Phe168, Phe309, and Val310 as illustrated in Figure 5. The docking scores of PRO and PHY are -6.8701 and -6.8701, respectively.

# μ-opiod Receptor (μ-OR)

 $\mu$ -OR represents an important opioid target for the occurrence of pain, diarrhea, chronic pulmonary edema, cough, and shivering. Shown in Figure 6. LOP are molecular docking of  $\mu$ -OR with PHY and LOP. For LOP, docking results show multiple hydrophobic interactions with the  $\mu$ -opiodreceptor. In addition, the methyl group of Val236, Ile296, Val300, and Ile322 display hydrophobic interactions with the aromatic rings of LOP while Trp293, and Tyr326 show  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking. For PHY, the aliphatic chain exhibits hydrophobic interactions with the methyl group of Val143, Val300, Ile144, and Leu219. Docking Scores of LOP and PHY are -7.9117 and -6.8200, respectively.

# Voltage-gated ion channel (VGIC)

VGIC are a class of transmembrane proteins that form ion channels that are activated by changes in the electrical membrane potential near the channel. These channels are associated with multiple heritable



**Figure 7.** Molecular Docking Interaction of Voltage-Gated Ion Channel (a) Nifedipine and (b) Phytol. Light mint color represents voltage-gated ion channel, green color represents the interacted residues while plum color represent ligand.

human diseases, including episodic ataxia type 2, familial hemiplegic migraine type 1, congenital stationary night blindness type 2, and other autosomal dominant diseases. NIF forms a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group ofSer956 with a distance of 2.41Å. In addition, Leu960 and Ile991 exhibit hydrophobic interaction with the aromatic ring of NIF, while Pro1033 shows  $\pi$ -CH<sub>3</sub> interaction with the NIF. On the other hand, PHY exhibits the hydrophobic interaction with Arg594, Leu960, Asn993, Arg1022, and Tyr1035, whereasthehydroxyl group of Ser956 mediates hydrogen bond with a distance of 2.60Å as depicted in Figure 7. Docking scores of NIF and PHY are -5.7210 and -6.0747, respectively.

In summary, findings from this investigation suggest that PHY, a natural compound, displays remarkable anti-diarrheal effect in castor oil-induced diarrheal mice. In this study, we have for the first-time investigated its anti-diarrheal effect in mice; results showed that PHY at 50 mg/kg (p.o.) significantly augmented the latency period, with reduction in diarrheic sections during the observation period (4 h). It exhibited better anti-diarrheal effect when co-treated with the standard drugs, PRA, PRO, LOP, and NIF, where the effect was more prominent when it was co-treated with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ receptor blocking agents, PRA and PRO, respectively. Although clinical trials on PHY are yet to be performed, it has many important biological effects on microorganisms, and other test animals. In addition, molecular docking study indicated that the responsible receptor moieties are  $\alpha$ -ADR1 and  $\beta$ -ADR1 that may be blocked by PHY through interaction with Ser192, andThr170 and Lys297, respectively. Taken all together, PHY may exert its anti-diarrheal effect through  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  receptors, especially  $\alpha$ -ADR1aand  $\beta$ -ADR1 blocking pathway. Docking scores also suggested that PHY blocked by  $\alpha$ -ADR1a and  $\beta$ -ADR1 as compare to  $\mu$ -OR and VGIC. However, more detailed studies are required to establish the safety and efficacy of this compound before it can be used as an anti-diarrheal drug.

#### Funding

This research received no external funding.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. DuPont HL. Acute infectious diarrhea in immunocompetent adults. New England Journal of Medicine. 2014;370(16):1532-40.

2. Zimmermann M, Kotloff K, Nasrin D, Roose A, Levine MM, Rheingans R, et al., editors. Household Costs of Diarrhea by Etiology in 7 Countries, The Global Enterics Mulitcenter Study (GEMS). Open forum infectious diseases; 2019: Oxford University Press US.

3. Cavalcanti P, Martins M, DO CARMO C, NUNES PH, ALVES FILHO FC, SILVA JD, et al. Antidiarrheal effect of extract from the bark of Combretum leprosum in mice. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências. 2019;91(1).

4. Shah AJ, Bhulani NN, Khan SH, ur Rehman N, Gilani AH. Calcium channel blocking activity of Mentha longifolia L. explains its medicinal use in diarrhoea and gut spasm. Phytotherapy Research. 2010;24(9):1392-7.

5. Choudhary MI, Hussain A, Ali Z, Adhikari A, Sattar SA, Ayatollahi SAM, et al. Diterpenoids including a novel dimeric conjugate from salvia leriaefolia. Planta Medica. 2012;78(3):269-75.

6. Mesaik MA, Halim SA, Ul-Haq Z, Choudhary MI, Shahnaz S, Ayatollahi SAM, et al. Immunosuppressive activity of buxidin and E-buxenone from buxus hyrcana. Chemical Biology and Drug Design. 2010;75(3):310-7.

7. Ayatollahi AM, Ghanadian M, Afsharypuor S, Choudhary MI, Kobarfard F, Rahmati M. Two new lathyrane type diterpenoids from Euphorbia aellenii. Fitoterapia. 2010;81(7):891-3.

8. Gilani AH. Trends in ethnopharmacology. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2005;100(1-2):43-9.

9. Azaizeh H, Saad B, Cooper E, Said O. Traditional Arabic and Islamic medicine, a re-emerging health aid. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2010;7(4):419-24.

10. Paz MF, Islam MT, Tabrez S, Firoz CK, Jabir NR, Kamal MA, et al. Effect of Diterpenes on Hepatic System. Current pharmaceutical design. 2018;24(35):4093-100.

11. Islam MT, da Silva CB, de Alencar MVOB, Paz MFCJ, Almeida FRdC, Melo-Cavalcante AAdC. Diterpenes: advances in neurobiological drug research. Phytotherapy Research. 2016;30(6):915-28.

12. Islam MT, da Mata AMOF, de Aguiar RPS, Paz MFCJ, de Alencar MVOB, Ferreira PMP, et al. Therapeutic potential of essential oils focusing on diterpenes. Phytotherapy Research. 2016;30(9):1420-44.

13. de ARAÚJO ÉJF, de ALMEIDA AAC, Silva OA, da COSTA IHF, Rezende-Junior LM, CAVALHEIRO AJ, et al. Behavioral effects induced by antitumor cleronade diterpenes from Casearia sylvestris and in silico interactions with neuron receptors. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2017;198:460-7.

14. Calzada F, Bautista E, Yépez-Mulia L, García-Hernandez N, Ortega A. Antiamoebic and antigiardial activity of clerodane diterpenes from Mexican Salvia species used for the treatment of diarrhea. Phytotherapy Research. 2015;29(10):1600-4.

15. Islam MT, Ali ES, Uddin SJ, Shaw S, Islam MA, Ahmed MI, et al. Phytol: A review of biomedical activities. Food and chemical toxicology. 2018;121:82-94.

16. Islam MT, de Alencar MVOB, da Conceição Machado K, da Conceição Machado K, de Carvalho Melo-Cavalcante AA, de Sousa DP, et al. Phytol in a pharma-medico-stance. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 2015;240:60-73.

17. Mascolo N, Izzo A, Barbato F, Capasso F. Inhibitors of nitric oxide synthetase prevent castor-oil-induced diarrhoea in the rat. British journal of pharmacology. 1993;108(4):861-4.

18. Kase Y, Saitoh K, Makino B, Hashimoto K, Ishige A, Komatsu Y. Relationship between the antidiarrhoeal effects of Hange-Shashin-To and its active components. Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives. 1999;13(6):468-73.

#### Muhammad Torequl Islam et al.

19. Bello FH, Maiha BB, Anuka JA. The effect of methanol rhizome extract of Nymphaea lotus Linn.(Nymphaeaceae) in animal models of diarrhoea. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2016;190:13-21.

20. Rawat P, Singh PK, Kumar V. Evidence based traditional antidiarrheal medicinal plants and their phytocompounds. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2017;96:1453-64.

21. Uchida M, Kato Y, Matsueda K, Shoda R, Muraoka A, Yamato S. Involvement of nitric oxide from nerves on diarrhea induced by castor oil in rats. The Japanese Journal of Pharmacology. 2000;82(2):168-70.

22. Förstermann U, Xia N, Li H. Roles of vascular oxidative stress and nitric oxide in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Circulation research. 2017;120(4):713-35.

23. Islam MT, Streck L, Paz MFCJ, de Castro JM, de Alencar MVOB, da Mata AMOF, et al. Preparation of phytol-loaded nanoemulsion and screening for antioxidant capacity. International Archives of Medicine. 2016;9.

24. Garcia-Nafria J, Nehme R, Edwards PC, Tate CG. Cryo-EM structure of the serotonin 5-HT 1B receptor coupled to heterotrimeric G o. Nature. 2018;558(7711):620-3.

25. Kutzner C, Páll S, Fechner M, Esztermann A, de Groot BL, Grubmüller H. More bang for your buck: Improved use of GPU nodes for GROMACS 2018. Journal of computational chemistry. 2019;40(27):2418-31.

26. Laskowski R, MacArthur M, Thornton J. Other validation tools. 2012.

27. CCGI M. Molecular Operating Environment (MOE), 2013.08. Chemical Computing Group Inc, Montreal. 2016. 28. Ul-Haq Z, Saeed M, Halim SA, Khan W. 3D Structure prediction of human  $\beta$ 1-adrenergic receptor via threading-based homology modeling for implications in structure-based drug designing. PloS one. 2015;10(4).

29. Awouters F, Niemegeers C, Lenaerts F, Janssen P. Delay of castor oil diarrhoea in rats: a new way to evaluate inhibitors of prostaglandin biosynthesis. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology. 1978;30(1):41-5.

30. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, et al. SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic acids research. 2014;42(W1):W252-W8.

31. Consortium U. UniProt: a hub for protein information. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(D1):D204-D12.

32. Johnson M, Zaretskaya I, Raytselis Y, Merezhuk Y, McGinnis S, Madden TL. NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic acids research. 2008;36(suppl\_2):W5-W9.

33. Sievers F, Higgins DG. Clustal omega. Current protocols in bioinformatics. 2014;48(1):3.13. 1-3.. 6.

34. Manglik A, Kruse AC, Kobilka TS, Thian FS, Mathiesen JM, Sunahara RK, et al. Crystal structure of the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor bound to a morphinan antagonist. Nature. 2012;485(7398):321-6.

35. Martinez-Ortiz W, Cardozo TJ. An improved method for modeling voltage-gated ion channels at atomic accuracy applied to human Cav channels. Cell reports. 2018;23(5):1399-408.

36. Berman H, Battistuz T, Bhat T, Bluhm W, Bourne P, Burkhardt K, et al. The protein data bank Acta Crystallogr. D Biol Crystallogr. 2002;58(Pt 6 No 1):899-907.