

**Original Research** 

# **Cellular and Molecular Biology**

E-ISSN: 1165-158X / P-ISSN: 0145-5680

www.cellmolbiol.org



# Exploitation of dark fermented effluent of cheese whey by co-culture of *Rhodobacter* sphaeroides and *Bacillus firmus* for photo-hydrogen production

A. Pandey<sup>1\*</sup>, A. Pandey<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad-211004, U.P., India <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad-211004, U.P., India

Correspondence to: <a href="mailto:apandey70@rediffmail.com">apandey70@rediffmail.com</a>

Received April 6, 2016; Accepted May 15, 2017; Published July 31, 2017 Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.14715/cmb/2017.63.6.19 Copyright: © 2017 by the C.M.B. Association. All rights reserved.

**Abstract:** In this study photo-hydrogen production from cheese whey dark fermentation (DF) effluent by the co-culture of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* –NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus* – NMBL-03 has been reported. The effect of pH, initial chemical oxygen demand (COD) and the concentration effect of FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 7H<sub>2</sub>O on photo-hydrogen production have been investigated. The end products of dark fermentation effluent of cheese whey were mainly comprised of soluble organic acids, i.e. butyric acid and lactic acid. The batch process was carried out under light intensity of 2.5 kLux at  $32 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C without any addition of extra carbon and nitrogen source. The single parameter optimization studies revealed optimum pH 6.5, initial COD 4.71 g/L and supplementation of Fe<sup>2+</sup> concentration 100 mg/L. The maximum cumulative hydrogen production and yield were found to be  $469 \pm 45.8$  ml H<sub>2</sub>/L and  $146.56 \pm 14.31$  ml H<sub>2</sub>/g COD reduced (67.9% reduction in COD) respectively. The mutual interactions among the process parameters were also investigated by three factorial Box-Behnken design of response surface methodology. The optimized experimental values were found concurrent with the calculated values obtained from the theoretical model.

Key words: Co-culture; Photofermentation; Cheese whey wastewater.

### Introduction

Hydrogen as a clean and an efficient carrier of fuel (143GJ/ton) is widely being accepted to be a potential substitute for fossil fuels. The challenge today is to produce it renewably and in large scale, through environmentally benign processes (1, 2). Some of the physicochemical factors which significantly affect biological hydrogen production are pH, carbon source, C/N ratio, phosphate levels as well as the nature of microbial flora (3, 4). Photosynthetic hydrogen production is driven by nitrogenase activity which simultaneously converts molecular nitrogen  $(N_2)$  to ammonia  $(NH_3)$ . On the other hand H<sub>2</sub>-producing activity of photofermentative bacteria is strongly inhibited in the presence of excess nitrogen and unfavourable pH (5-7). A sequential process of dark fermentation (DF) and photofermentation (PF) by photosynthetic purple non sulfur bacteria (PSB) has not only tremendous potential for H<sub>2</sub> production but also provides a promising way for bioprocess development and complete conversion of sugars (5, 8-10). Therefore, the optimized production of hydrogen lies in understanding the hydrogen metabolism i.e. the responses with regard to the change in the environment of the culture.

Cheese whey being a waste of cheese manufacturing industry has been used as a cheap raw material for production of fuels (ethanol, methane, hydrogen) by photo/dark fermentation of organic acids (lactic acid, butyric acid and acetic acid), carbohydrate (5-6% w/v lactose), protein (0.8-1%), and fat (0.06%) contents present therein (11). The influence of initial pH on the

hydrogen yield in a batch process using Clostridium strain from diluted cheese whey has been investigated (12). Although there are several reports of biohydrogen production using cheese whey as substrate by dark fermentation but to best of our knowledge, so far, there is no report of further conversion of organic acids (which are by-products of cheese whey dark fermentation) to photohydrogen. This conversion of organic acids present in the dark fermentation effluent could be achieved by photofermentation either by the pure suitable photosynthetic bacterial (PSB) strain or a suitable co-culture. The advantage of the co-culture (photo- and dark fermenter) is that phototroph utilizes the in situ generated organic acids (i.e. butyric/lactic acids) produced by the fermenting bacteria and nullifies its inhibitory effects thereby making the process sustainable till all carbon sources exhausted. Simultaneously, in the same batch culture the emitted carbon dioxide by dark/photo fermenter is fixed by the phototrophs and gets fermented by the dark fermenting organism. Moreover, the overall success of the photofermentation process also depends on the strain of the used photosynthetic bacteria (PSB) (5, 13).

In the present study, photohydrogen production from DF effluent of cheese whey as substrate using the coculture of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* –NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus* – NMBL-03 has been reported. Our previous work with *R. sphaeroides*-NMBL-01 strain has given promising results (5) which has been used for biohydrogen production experiments. The RSM was used to maximize yield and rate of hydrogen gas production from DF effluent of cheese whey wastewater.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

In the current study *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* NMBL-01 which is a photosynthetic purple non sulfur bacteria (PSB) (5) has been employed for photofermentation along with the heterotrophic partner microbe *Bacillus firmus* NMBL-03 (Gene Bank Accession no.: HE610429.1). The later was isolated from local sludge, purified by clonal selection method and characterized by 16S rRNA gene sequencing using universal primers 27 F and 1492 R (Eurofins, Bangalore, India). This co-culture (*Rhodobacter sphaeroides* NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus* NMBL-03) was grown together for 8-10 subcultures and used for optimized photo-hydrogen production using DF effluent of cheese whey wastewater.

### Substrate and media composition

The DF effluent of cheese whey wastewater (from milk industry) with chemical oxygen demand (COD) value of 80g/L was obtained as gift from B.H.U., India and used as such in the current study. The co-culture used in the current study was cultured and maintained in DF effluent containing mineral solution, trace elements and vitamin solutions as reported earlier (5). The effect of initial pH of the media on hydrogen production was studied at different initial pH values i.e. 6.0, 6.5, 6.8, 7.0, 7.3, 7.5 and 7.8 (maintained by addition of 0.1 M NaOH). The DF effluent was diluted in folds of 4, 8, 12, 14, 17 and 20 using the mineral-trace-vitamin solution (5) to examine the photohydrogen evolution at different COD values. To study the effect of  $Fe^{2+}$  ions (in 0.1, 1, 50, 100, 150, 300 and 500 mg/L concentrations) on hydrogen production, filter sterilized FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O was used in place of Fe (III) citrate in the solution of trace elements. After autoclaving the media for 15 min at 103.5 kPa (15 psi) pressure and 121 °C  $\pm$  2 °C temperature, filter sterilized vitamin solution was added.

#### **Experimental conditions**

The batch experiments were performed in air-tight 120 mL serum bottles containing 55 mL of inoculated medium under stirring condition (50 rpm) (5). Initial cell concentration was kept at 0.40 g dcw/L (48 h grown cells). The experimental set up was maintained at 32 °C  $\pm$  2 °C and illuminated with a 200W tungsten lamp to provide a uniform light intensity of 2.5 kLux at the surface of batch reactors. The experiment was monitored

for 3 days. The produced hydrogen was collected in 20 mL gas tight disposable syringes. The colony forming unit per mL (cfu/mL) was calculated on day 0, day 1 and day 3 to know the population dynamics of the co-culture using serial dilution plating technique.

#### First strand cDNA synthesis and its analysis

12 h and 24 h grown co-cultures at different pH values were used for messenger RNA (mRNA) isolation using RNeasy<sup>®</sup> Protect Bacteria Mini Kit from Qiagen. DNase treatment was performed according to the protocol provided by manufacturer. Purity and concentration of DNA-free RNA samples were determined by the absorbance measurements at 260 nm and 280 nm on UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Labomed, USA). 100 ng mRNA was used for synthesis of first strand cDNA with primer of *nif*H(5'-AGACCGTGTGGGGGCGTGCTG-CACGATATT-3') using first strand cDNA synthesis kit (First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit # 1611 # 1612 from Fermentas) according to procedure provided by manufacturer (14). The concentration of first strand cDNA was determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm.

## Single parameter optimization

Single parameter optimization with respect to different initial pH values, dilution folds (i.e. % dilutions) of DF effluent of cheese whey substrate and iron (II) sulfate concentrations was done in 120 mL serum bottles containing 55 mL media under strict anaerobic illuminated conditions as illustrated above.

#### **Multiple parameter optimizations**

Multiple parameter optimizations with respect to initial pH, initial COD and Fe (II) sulfate concentrations were studied by using three factorial Box-Behnken design (15). Actual levels of the chosen variables and 12 unique sets with a triplicate on the centre point of experimental design are given in Table 1. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used to interpret the significant interactions existing between the chosen independent variables using MINITAB 15 statistical software based on second degree polynomial equation (15).

#### **Analytical method**

The pH of the culture medium was measured with Eutech (Merck) pH meter. The final pH of the media was taken at the end of experiment i.e. 3rd day. The bacterial cell concentration was measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometer using the standard curve where one

Table 1. Coded and actual levels of variables chosen for the statistical design of experiment.

| Factors        | Levels | Variables |
|----------------|--------|-----------|
| pH             | -1     | 6.0       |
|                | 0      | 6.5       |
|                | +1     | 7.0       |
| Dilution fold  | -1     | 14        |
|                | 0      | 17        |
|                | +1     | 20        |
| Fe (II)sulfate | -1     | 50 mg/L   |
|                | 0      | 100 mg/L  |
|                | +1     | 150 mg/L  |

unit of optical density at 660 nm corresponded to 0.50 g dcw/L medium. Bacteriochlorophyll-a concentration present in the whole cell was determined according to the method al., 2012 (16). The COD content of cheese whey was measured according to standard APHA protocols (17). The collected gas was analyzed with a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890) equipped with a thermoconductivity detector and a capillary column (HP-PLOT/Q). Nitrogen gas served as carrier and pure hydrogen gas served as standard. The oven temperature was 90 °C, and the temperature of detector and injector as 100 °C and 70 °C respectively. The analysis of organic acids was done through HPLC (Agilent 1200) fitted with C-18 column using 0.05 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (adjusted pH 2.5 using phosphoric acid with a flow rate of 0.15 mL/min).

# **Results and discussion**

## Effect of pH on hydrogen production

The optimization of pH for photohydrogen production by co-culture of Rhodobacter sphaeroides and Bacillus firmus using spent media of dark fermentation (DF effluent) of cheese whey wastewater has been investigated. At initial pH 6.5 and COD (5.71 g/L), maximum cumulative hydrogen  $(213 \pm 25.56 \text{ mL H}_2/\text{L})$  with yield  $57.41 \pm 2.53$  mL/g COD reduced for biomass 1.08  $\pm$  0.12 g/L and bacteriochlorophyll-a 16.95  $\pm$  1.25 mM (Table 2) using initial COD (5.71 g/L) of the DF effluent was obtained. The results showed that the initial pH has pronounced effect on the yield of hydrogen production, biomass and bacteriochlorophyll-a concentration (Table 2). The analysis of cfu/mL during the whole experimental tenure showed the values 1X10<sup>8</sup> and 1X10<sup>8</sup> for *Rho*dobacter sphaeroides and Bacillus firmus respectively. This indicates that both the cultures grew at 1:1 proportion for the experimental duration without any drastic change in final pH which is the main advantage of coculture. The first strand of cDNA of nifH transcript was synthesized to investigate the effect of pH on expression of *nif*H gene which is coding dinitrogenase reductase (subunit of nitrogenase enzyme containing iron as a cofactor) (18). To best of our knowledge it is the first report on *nif*H gene expression at different pH. In our investigation the concentration of first strand cDNA of *nif*H gene's transcript was found maximum (5.5  $\mu$ g/mg) at initial pH 6.5 supporting the high yield of obtained hydrogen (data not shown).

pH has pronounced effect on cell biomass and hydrogen production. Reportedly, the maximum biomass yield (0.38 g/L) in case of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* O.U. 001 was obtained at pH 7.0 (7). However, the end pH increased to 8.25 and 8.66 when the initial media pH values were adjusted at 6.5 and 7.0 respectively (7). Due to this the available light energy within the culture through absorption and scattering effects decreases. Notably, high concentration of biomass and bacteriochlorophyll-a significantly affects the light penetration reaching to the active cells facilitating nitrogenase catalysed photo-hydrogen production (7, 14).

In the present study we have obtained highest biomass  $(1.85 \pm 0.26 \text{ g/L})$  at pH 7.8. The final pH increased from 6.84 to 7.3 and this pH range is most suitable for optimum nitrogenase expression and activity. The observed pH range in the present study may be attributed mainly due to the co-culture of heterotroph, B. firmus (as sugar utilizer and organic acid producer) and PSB, R. sphaeroides (as organic acid utilizer for sustained H<sub>2</sub> production) without increase in alkalinity beyond 7.3. In comparison to the two-step process of sequential dark fermentation and photofermentation, co-cultures of anaerobic and photosynthetic bacteria are more efficient and cost effective for hydrogen production (8). Evidently, co-cultures of Clostridium butyricum and the photosynthetic bacterium Rhodopseudomonas sp. RV produced 7 mol H<sub>2</sub>/mol hexose (8). Co-cultures of Clostridium acidisoli and Rhodobacter sphaeroides were used (13) to optimize substrate concentration, initial pH and inoculum's ratio of C. acidisoli to R. sphaeroides as 11.42 g/L sucrose, 7.13, and 0.83 respectively for efficient hydrogen production. A comparison of some of the relevant data from the literature with the findings of the present work is given in Table 3.

# Effect of dilution of spent media (DF effluent of cheese whey wastewater) on hydrogen production

The HPLC analysis of DF effluent of cheese whey wastewater showed high concentration of butyric acid and lactic acid as main organic acids alongwith dissolved ammonium. Therefore, dilution of the effluent is a mandatory step to achieve optimized hydrogen production. The spent media was diluted (% dilution) 4 (25%), 8 (12.5%), 12 (8.3%), 14 (7.1%), 17 (5.9%) and 20 (5%) folds with mineral-trace-vitamin solution maintaining optimized initial pH at 6.5 to study its effect on cumulative hydrogen production and yield. In pres-

**Table 2.** Effect of initial medium pH on final pH, cumulative hydrogen produced, yield of  $H_2$  production (mL  $H_2/g$  COD reduced), bacteriochlorophyll-a concentration (mM) and biomass (g/L) by photofermentation of 14 fold diluted dark fermentation spent media of cheese whey (5.71 g/L COD) using co-culture of *R. sphaeroides*-NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus*-NMBL-03 at temperature 32 °C ± 2 °C under illumination of 2.5 kLux in a batch process. The hydrogen production was monitored for 3 days.

| Initial pH | Final pH        | Cumulative hydrogen<br>produced (ml/L) | Yield (mL H <sub>2</sub> /g<br>COD reduced) | Bacteriochlorophyll-a<br>concentration (mM) | Biomass (g/L) |
|------------|-----------------|--|---|---|---------------|
| 6.0        | $6.9\pm 0.20$   | $175\pm17.00$                          | $47.17\pm4.58$                              | $13.20 \pm 1.10$                            | $1.10\pm0.15$ |
| 6.5        | $6.84\pm0.20$   | $213\pm25.56$                          | $57.41 \pm 6.89$                            | $16.95 \pm 1.25$                            | $1.08\pm0.12$ |
| 6.8        | $7.1\pm0.18$    | $153\pm9.40$                           | $41.24\pm2.53$                              | $20.15\pm1.82$                              | $1.30\pm0.13$ |
| 7.0        | $6.99 \pm 0.30$ | $147\pm9.20$                           | $39.62\pm2.48$                              | $23.05\pm1.81$                              | $1.45\pm0.15$ |
| 7.3        | $7.13\pm0.20$   | $142\pm9.80$                           | $38.27\pm2.64$                              | $21.30\pm1.90$                              | $1.45\pm0.17$ |
| 7.5        | $7.3\pm0.34$    | $144\pm8.50$                           | $53.14\pm3.14$                              | $19.90 \pm 1.80$                            | $1.57\pm0.21$ |
| 7.8        | $7.28\pm0.28$   | $149\pm7.20$                           | $40.16\pm1.94$                              | $16.90 \pm 1.82$                            | $1.59\pm0.26$ |

Table 3. Comparison of hydrogen yields with the literature studies.

| Inoculum   | Carbon substrate   | Yield of H <sub>2</sub>                    | Organic loading<br>rate    | Reference              |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Clostridium<br>saccharoperbutylacetonicum  | Cheese whey  | 2.8 mmol H <sub>2</sub> /mol lactose       | 89.3 g/COD in batch        | Ferchichi et al., 2005 |
| Mixed fermentative seed sludge   | Cheese whey  | -  | 20 g COD/L/d               | Castello et al., 2009  |
| Anaerobic fermentation   | Anaerobic fermentation Cheese whey powder                        |  | 10 g/L lactose In<br>batch | Azbar et al. ,2009     |
| E. cloacae IIT-BT 08   | Cheese whey  | 10.3 mmol $H_2/g$ COD                      | 10 g/L COD in batch        | Khanna et al., 2011    |
| Anaerobic sludge   | Cheese whey powder   | 1.03 mol H <sub>2</sub> /mol<br>glucose    | 20 g total sugar/L         | Kargi et al., 2012     |
| Co-culture of <i>R. sphaeroides</i> -<br>NMBL01 and <i>Bacillus firmus</i> -<br>NMBL03 (photofermentation) | Dark fermentation<br>product of fresh cheese<br>whey waste water | 146.56 mL (6.54 mmol ) $H_2/g$ COD reduced | 4.71 g/L COD<br>In batch   | This study             |

ence of 17 fold diluted effluent, maximum cumulative hydrogen ( $265 \pm 22.5 \text{ mL/L}$ ) and yield ( $86.60 \pm 7.35 \text{ mL/g}$  COD reduced) with dry biomass of  $1.22 \pm 0.08 \text{ g/L}$  was obtained (Table 3). However, at lower dilutions the biomass was high (ranging from  $1.28 \cdot 1.9 \text{ g/L}$ ) while at higher dilutions it decreased ( $0.55 \text{ g/L} \pm 0.045 \text{ at } 20 \text{ folds dilution}$ ). Butyric acid was also detected in addition to lactic acid as end metabolite in 4, 8 and 12 fold diluted effluent. The presence of butyric acid results in increased biomass (because it is precursor of PHB biosynthesis, a storage polymer in PSB) and higher pH at end which is unfavourable for hydrogen production due to less light penetration and limited electrons supply for nitrogenase .

It has also been reported (10, 19) that the initial substrate concentration and the inhibitory concentration of nitrogen significantly influence the yield of hydrogen production. Therefore, in order to decrease the concentration of VFA and/or nitrogenous compounds dilution of the spent media of dark fermentation before photofermentation is practiced. At 40% dilution of dark fermentation effluent, no hydrogen evolution was achieved using *R. capsulatus*. However, hydrogen production increased in the 40-60% dilution range and further decreased with increase in dilutions (9).

# Effect of Fe(II) sulfate on hydrogen production

Photofermentative and fermentative hydrogen productions and consumptions are known to be derived by the actions of nitrogenase and hydrogenase enzymes (20). Several electron carriers of the photosynthetic electron transport system (ETS) are known to contain iron as necessary cofactor such as ferredoxin (21). Photofermentation is strongly associated with the ETS through which the bacteria produce energy necessary for nitrogenase catalysed hydrogen production (22). There are several reports about the effect of external Fe<sup>2+</sup> (or Fe (II)) addition on the biological hydrogen production by pure or mixed cultures through dark or photofermentation (23, 24). The maximum hydrogen yield 131.9 mL/gsucrose at 800 mg/L FeCl, was obtained in fermentative hydrogen production (25). Similarly, H, production from the glucose media was increased from 194 to 302 mL after increasing the concentration of  $Fe^{2+}$  from 0 to 300 mg/L (6). Maximum yield of hydrogen production i.e. 2.73 mol/mol sucrose at 1600 mg/L FeSO<sub>4</sub> concentration was observed (26). Photofermentative hydrogen production process is also significantly affected by the iron supplementation. Hydrogen production increased linearly in the range of 0 to 1.6 mg/L  $Fe^{2+}$  and reached maximum at 2.4 mg/L Fe<sup>2+</sup> by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (21). About 3 fold increase in the hydrogen production from Rhodobacter capsulatus after addition of 5 mg/L Fe (III) citrate was observed (21). In a recent study the addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0.1 mM) improved hydrogen production into 3 folds by R. capsulatus (10). A significant improvement in COD removal efficiency (48.1%) after supplementation of  $Fe^{2+}$  has also been reported (27). We have studied the effect of Fe (II) sulfate concentration (0.1, 1.0, 50.0, 100.0, 150, 300.0 and 500.0 mg/L) on

**Table 4.** Effect of fold dilution of dark fermentation spent media of cheese whey (80 g/L COD) on final pH, cumulative hydrogen produced (mL/L), yield of H<sub>2</sub> production (mL H<sub>2</sub>/g COD) and biomass (g/L) by photofermentation using co-culture of *R. sphaeroides*-NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus*-NMBL-03 at temperature 32 °C  $\pm$  2 °C under illumination of 2.5 kLux in a batch process. The hydrogen production was monitored for 3 days.

| Fold dilution of spent media | Final pH                     | Total hydrogen<br>produced (mL/L) | Yield (mL H <sub>2</sub> /g COD) | Biomass (g/L)   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 4                            | $8.99\pm0.20$                | $20\pm4$                          | $1.54\pm0.31$                    | $2.9\pm0.62$    |
| 8                            | $8.45\pm0.22$                | $32 \pm 5.2$                      | $4.92\pm0.8$                     | $1.8\ \pm 0.41$ |
| 12                           | $7.98\pm0.18$                | $124 \pm 15$                      | $23.85\pm2.88$                   | $1.65\pm0.24$   |
| 14                           | $6.87\pm0.25$                | $213\pm24.60$                     | $57.41 \pm 6.63$                 | $1.08\pm0.12$   |
| 17                           | $7.05\pm0.15$                | $265\pm22.50$                     | $86.60\pm7.35$                   | $1.02\pm0.080$  |
| 20                           | $\boldsymbol{6.88 \pm 0.15}$ | $75\pm5.6$                        | $28.85\pm2.15$                   | $0.55\pm0.045$  |

**Table 5**. Effect of FeSO<sub>4</sub> concentration on final pH, average hydrogen production rate (mL/L/d), cumulative hydrogen produced (mL/L), yield of H<sub>2</sub> production (mL H<sub>2</sub>/g COD reduced), biomass (g/L) and % COD reduction by photofermentation of 17 fold diluted dark fermentation spent media of cheese whey (COD 4.71 g/L) keeping initial medium pH 6.5 using co-culture of *R. sphaeroides*-NMBL-01 and *Bacillus firmus*-NMBL-03 at temperature  $32^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$  under illumination of 2.5 kLux in a batch process. The hydrogen production was monitored for 3 days.

| Concentration<br>of Fe <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L) | Final pH      | Average rate of<br>hydrogen production<br>(mL/L/d) | Total hydrogen<br>produced<br>(mL/L) | Yield (mL<br>H <sub>2</sub> /g COD<br>reduced) | Biomass<br>(g/L) | COD<br>reduction<br>(%) |
|---|---------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| 0.1   | $7.06\pm0.1$  | $22 \pm 2.2$                                       | $110\pm11.0$                         | $51.89\pm5.19$                                 | $0.90\pm0.08$    | 45.00                   |
| 1.0   | $7.05\pm0.12$ | $33.6\pm3.04$                                      | $168\pm15.2$                         | $61.54 \pm 5.57$                               | $0.95\pm0.11$    | 57.90                   |
| 50  | $7.15\pm0.15$ | $59\pm5.16$  | $295\pm25.8$                         | $96.41\pm8.46$                                 | $1.12\pm0.12$    | 64.90                   |
| 100   | $7.12\pm0.11$ | $93.8\pm9.16$                                      | $469\pm45.8$                         | $146.56\pm14.31$                               | $1.29\pm0.15$    | 67.90                   |
| 150   | $7.15\pm0.12$ | $73.8\pm5.0$                                       | $369\pm25.0$                         | $123\pm8.33$                                   | $1.4\pm0.19$     | 63.69                   |
| 300   | $7.16\pm0.10$ | $48.2\pm9.25$                                      | $241\pm18.5$                         | $120.5\pm9.25$                                 | $1.35\pm0.18$    | 61.57                   |
| 500   | $7.15\pm0.11$ | $37.6 \pm 3.16$                                    | $188 \pm 15.8$                       | $76.73\pm6.45$                                 | $1.15\pm0.21$    | 52.02                   |

Table 6. A three factor Box-Behnken design matrix and respective theoretical model based results.

| V1  | V1c | V2 | V2c | V3  | V3c | Cumulative hydrogen<br>production (mL/L) |
|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| 6.5 | 0   | 14 | -1  | 150 | +1  | 200.625                                  |
| 6.5 | 0   | 17 | 0   | 100 | 0   | 417.667                                  |
| 6.5 | 0   | 17 | 0   | 100 | 0   | 417.667                                  |
| 6.5 | 0   | 20 | +1  | 150 | +1  | 328.625                                  |
| 6.0 | 0   | 20 | +1  | 100 | 0   | 142.125                                  |
| 7.0 | +1  | 17 | 0   | 50  | -1  | 134.750                                  |
| 6.0 | -1  | 17 | 0   | 50  | -1  | 213.500                                  |
| 7.0 | +1  | 20 | +1  | 100 | 0   | 175.875                                  |
| 6.5 | 0   | 14 | -1  | 50  | -1  | 264.375                                  |
| 6.0 | -1  | 14 | 0   | 100 | 0   | 200.125                                  |
| 6.0 | -1  | 17 | 0   | 150 | +1  | 223.250                                  |
| 6.5 | 0   | 20 | +1  | 50  | -1  | 87.375                                   |
| 6.5 | 0   | 17 | 0   | 100 | 0   | 417.667                                  |
| 7.0 | +1  | 14 | -1  | 100 | 0   | 166.875                                  |
| 7.0 | +1  | 17 | 0   | 150 | +1  | 302.500                                  |

 $H_2$  yield, biomass and % COD reduction (Table 4) by keeping initial pH 6.5 and using 17 fold diluted effluent (optimized conditions having COD 4.71 g/L). The optimum yield i.e. 146.56 ± 14.31 mL  $H_2/g$  COD reduced with 67.9 % COD reduction and biomass  $1.29 \pm 0.15$ g/L at 100 mg/L Fe (II) sulfate concentration was observed. It may be concluded that with supplementation of Fe (II) sulfate at 100 mg/L, yield of hydrogen production increased by 1.69 folds. The comparative yield of biohydrogen with respect to present study has been documented in Table 6.

# Hydrogen production by multiple parameter optimizations

The optimized parameters (pH, dilution of effluent and  $Fe^{2+}$  concentration) obtained from single parameter studies helped in designing the experiment for multiple parameter optimizations by a three factorial Box-Behnken design. The design of matrix and experimental results are shown in Table 1 and 5. Based on single parameter optimization results, pH was altered from 6 to 7 in steps of 0.5 unit, dilution fold of substrate from 14 to 20 fold in steps of 3 folds and Fe (II) sulfate concentrations from 50 mg/L to 150 mg/L in steps of 50 mg/L and hydrogen production was measured. The response surface plot obtained by MINITAB 15 based on Fe (II) sulfate concentration and pH as independent variables on hydrogen production is shown in Figures 1a-1c. A significant increase in hydrogen production could be achieved by increasing Fe (II) sulfate concentration from 50 mg/L to 100 mg/L. After this concentration level a decrease in hydrogen production suggest that Fe(II) is inhibitory. Similarly, a significant increase in hydrogen production in the pH range of 6.0 to 6.5 was observed but further increase in pH to 7.0 decreased hydrogen production, implying that pH 6.5 is the optimum value. Therefore, 100 mg/L Fe (II) sulfate is the optimum concentration for hydrogen production at initial pH 6.5 (Figure 1a).

The surface response curve obtained with respect to dilution fold and pH is shown in Figure 1b. A significant increase in hydrogen production by increasing dilution fold of spent media from 14 to 18 fold and decreases further beyond 18 fold was achieved. The response curve of Fe (II) sulfate concentration and dilution fold on hydrogen production is shown in Figure 1c. It shows that there was an increase in hydrogen production from 14 fold to 16 fold dilutions of spent media in combination with increase in Fe (II) sulfate concentration from 50 mg/L to near 150 mg/L. However at 20 dilution fold



**Figure 1.** Surface Plot of hydrogen produced (mL/L) (a) vs Fe(II) sulfate (mg/L) and pH, Hold value: Dilution fold 17 (b) vs Dilution fold and pH, Hold value: Fe(II) sulfate 100 mg/L (c) vs Fe(II) sulfate (mg/L) and Dilution fold, Hold value: pH 6.5.

there is a drastic decrease in the produced hydrogen, suggesting that higher dilutions beyond 16 fold are not suitable for the growth of the co-culture due to drastic decrease in COD required for growth.

Thus optimized value of process parameters obtained through single parameter optimizations i.e. pH, dilution fold of spent media and Fe (II) sulfate concentration were 6.5, dilution fold 17 and 100 mg/L Fe (II) sulfate respectively with maximum hydrogen production  $469 \pm 45.8 \text{ mL/L}$  and yield of  $146.56 \pm 14.31 \text{ mL/g}$ COD reduced. However, the theoretical model of multi parameter optimization gave the maximum point of the model at 455.99 mL/L corresponding to pH 6.49, dilution fold 16.61 and 93.43 mg/L Fe (II) sulfate concentration. Therefore we have been successful in getting the experimental values quite close to the theoretical values. Therefore, optimized values of pH, dilution fold and Fe (II) sulfate concentration obtained through theoretical model can be used for scale up studies using this co culture for conversion of spent media of dark fermented cheese whey water.

The results from this study have shown for the first time the optimized photofermentation conditions for hydrogen production using dark fermentation products of cheese whey waste water by co-culture. The best conditions obtained for photofermentation using co-culture of Rhodobacter sphaeroides -- NMBL-01 and Bacillus firmus – NMBL-03 were pH 6.5, dilution 17 fold (4.71 g COD/L) and supplementation of  $Fe^{2+}$  concentration 100 mg/L for maximized yield of 146.56  $\pm$ 14.31 mL H<sub>2</sub>/g COD reduced with 67.9 % COD reduction. The optimized process parameters found were in close vicinity to the values obtained by Box-Behnken design of multi parameter optimization. This co-culture might prove as potential co-culture for wide range of sugar/organic acid rich biorefinery waste conversion and hydrogen production for upscaling.

# Acknowledgements

The authors Dr. Anjana Pandey and Dr. Ashutosh Pandey thankfully acknowledges the funding support received from DBT (Ref. No. BT/PR8674/PBD/26/389/2013) Government of India, New Delhi for providing financial assistance.

### References

1. Das D, Veziroglu TN. Hydrogen production by biological processes: a survey of literature, Int J of Hyd Energy 2001; 26:13-28.

2. Kapdan IK, Kargi F. Bio-hydrogen production from waste materials. Enzyme and Microbial Technol 2006; 38:569-82.

3. Koku H, Eroglu I, Gunduz U, Yucel M, Turker L. Aspects of the metabolism of hydrogen production by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, Int J of Hyd Energy 2002; 27:1315-1329.

4. Pandey A, Sinha P, Kotay SM, Das D. Isolation and evaluation of a high hydrogen producing lab isolate from cow dung, Int J of Hyd Energy 2009; 34: 7483-7488.

5. Pandey A, Srivastava N, Sinha P. Optimization of hydrogen production by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* NMBL-01, Biomass and Bioenergy 2012; 37:251-256.

6. Wang J, Wan W. Effect of  $Fe^{2+}$  concentration on fermentative hydrogen production by mixed cultures, Int J of Hyd Energy 2008; 33:1215-1220.

7. Nath K, Das D. Effect of light intensity and initial pH during hydrogen production by an integrated dark and photofermentation process, Int J of Hyd Energy 2009; 34:7497-7501.

8. Miyake J, Mao XY, Kawamura S. Photoproduction of hydrogen from glucose by a co-culture of a photosynthetic bacterium and *Clostridium butyricum*, J of ferment Technol 1984; 2:531-535.

9. Laurinavichene TV, Belokopytov BF, Laurinavichius KS, Khusnutdinova AN, Seibert M, Tsygankov AA. Towards the integration of dark and photofermentative waste treatment. 4. Repeated batch sequential dark and photofermentation using starch as substrate, Int J of Hyd Energy 2012; 37:8800-8810.

10. Ozgur E, Mars AE, Paksel B, Louwerse A, Yucel M, Gunduz U et al. Biohydrogen production from beet molasses by sequential dark and photofermentation, Int J of Hyd Energy 2010; 35:511-517. 11. Ozmihci S, Kargi F. Ethanol fermentation of cheese whey powder solution by repeated fed-batch operation, Enzyme Microbial Technol 2007; 41:169-174.

12. Ferchichi M, Crabb E, Gil GH, Hintz W, Almadidy A. Influence of initial pH on hydrogen production from cheese whey, J of Biotechnol 2005; 120:402-409.

13. Sun Q, Xiao W, Xi D, Shi J, Yan X, Zhou Z. Statistical optimization of biohydrogen production from sucrose by a co-culture of *Clostridium acidisoli* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, Int J of Hyd Energy 2010; 35:4076-4084.

14. Akkose S, Gunduz U, Yucel M, Eroglu I Effects of ammonium ion, acetate and aerobic conditions on hydrogen production and expression levels of nitrogenase genes in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 

O.U. 001, Int J of Hyd Energy 2009; 34:8818-8827.

15. Roy S, Ghosh S, Das D. Improvement of hydrogen production with thermophilic mixed culture from rice spent wash of distillery industry, Int J of Hyd Energy 2012; 41:14015-14025.

16. Mizoguchi T, Isaji M, Harada J, Tamiaki H Isolation and pigment composition of the reaction centres from purple photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* species, Biochim et Biophys Acta 2012; 1817:395-400.

17. APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 19th ed. New York: American Public Health Association; 2005.

18. 22. Tan JW, Thong KL, Arumugan ND, Cheah WL, Lai YW, Chua KH, Rahim RA, Vikineswary S. Development of a PCR assay for the detection of *nif*H and *nif*D genes in indigenous photosynthetic bacteria, Int J of Hyd Energy 2009; 34:7538-7541.

19. Uyar B, Schumacher M, Gebicki J, Modigell M. Photoproduction of hydrogen by *Rhodobacter capsulatus* from thermophilic fermentation effluent, Bioprocess and Biosyst Eng 2009; 32:603-606.

20. Dixon R, Kahn D. Genetic regulation of biological nitrogen fixation, Nature Review Microbiology 2004; 2:621-631.

21. Zhu H, Fang HHP, Zang T, Beaudette LA. Effect of ferrous ion on photo-heterotrophic hydrogen production by *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, Int J of Hyd Energy 2007; 32:4112-4118.

22. Wu P, Zhang G, Li J, Lu H, Zhao W. Effects of Fe<sup>2+</sup> concentration on biomass accumulation and energy metabolism in photosynthetic bacteria wastewater treatment, Biores Technol 2012; 119:55-59.

23. Sinha P, Pandey A. An evaluative report and challenges for fermentative biohydrogen production, Int J of Hyd Energy 2011;

#### 36:7460-7478.

24. Tiwari A, Pandey A. Cyanobacterial hydrogen production-A step towards clean environment, Int J of Hyd Energy 2012; 37:139-150.25. Lee YZ, Miyahara T, Noike T. Effect of iron concentration on hydrogen fermentation, Biores Technol 2001; 80:227-231.

26. Zhang Y, Liu G, Shen J. Hydrogen production in batch culture of mixed bacteria with sucrose under different iron concentrations, Int J of Hyd Energy 2005; 30:855-860.

27. Eroglu E, Gunduz U, Yucel M, Eroglu I. Effect of iron and molybdenum addition on photofermentative hydrogen production from olive mill wastewater, Int J of Hyd Energy 2011; 36:5895-5903.

28. Castello E, Santos CG, Iglesias T, Paolino G, Wenzel J, Borzacconi L, Etchehere C. Feasibility of biohydrogen production from cheese whey using UASB reactor: Links between microbial community and reactor performance, Int J of Hyd Energy 2009; 34:5674-5682.

29. Azbar N, Dokgoz FT, Keskin T, Eltem R, Korkmaz KS, Gezgin Y et al. Comparative evaluation of biohydrogen production from cheese whey wastewater under thermophilic and mesophilic anaerobic conditions, Int J of Green Energy 2009; 6:192-200.

30. Khanna N, Dasgupta CN, Mishra P, Das D. Homologous overexpression of (FeFe) hydrogenase in *Enterobacter cloacae* IIT-BT 08 to enhance hydrogen gas production from cheese whey, Int J of Hyd Energy 2011; 36:15573-15582.

31. Kargi F, Eren NS, Ozmihci S. Hydrogen gas production from cheese whey powder (CWP) solution by thermophilic dark fermentation, Int J of Hyd Energy 2012; 37:2260-2266.